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Abstract
After an initial meeting in 2013 that reviewed adherence to disease modifying therapy, the AD@MS group conducted a follow-up meeting that examined adherence to behavioural interventions in MS (e.g. physical activity, diet, psychosocial interventions). Very few studies have examined adherence to behavioural interventions in MS. Outcomes beyond 6 months are lacking, as well as implementation work in the community. Although adherence is a multi-dimensional construct, it is often conceptualized as unitary in published studies. Psychological interventions need to overcome stigma and other barriers to facilitate initiation and maintenance of behaviour change. A focus group revealed multiple challenges patients confront when attempting to regularly engage in physical activity. Highlighted needs for future research included an improved understanding of patients’ and health experts’ knowledge and attitudes towards physical activity as well as a need for longitudinal research that investigates exercise persistence. (139 words)
Introduction

Adherence to medical interventions is a global problem, particularly in chronic diseases such as multiple sclerosis (MS). Participants of a first international meeting on Adherence in MS (AD@MS) in 2013 under the auspices of the European Rehabilitation in MS (RIMS) network concluded that there is a lack of rigorous and robust research examining adherence to medication regimens in MS (1). The group further highlighted the importance of research examining the preferences, attitudes, and needs of patients regarding behaviour change decisions, and the importance of investigating the provision of high quality patient information as a prerequisite for optimal decision making.

The goal of the second ADAMS meeting (5th June 2014) was to summarise the current knowledge of adherence to behavioural interventions in MS and to identify core areas for future research. We operationally defined behavioural interventions as educational and interactive treatments designed to induce healthy non-pharmacologic behaviour change. Behavioural interventions that successfully promote a healthy life-style can reduce the risk and/or severity of many chronic conditions, including cardiovascular disease, cancer, depression, dementia, and sexually transmitted disease (2).

Rehabilitation may be the most complex behavioural intervention. It is a problem-solving educational process designed to change behaviour via enhanced activity and health participation (3). Understanding rehabilitation adherence requires the examination of multiple distinct treatment approaches and processes that are commonly oriented by a set of overlapping goals. Whereas adherence to single components of a rehabilitation process (e.g., exercise, diet or use of specific self-management skills) can be studied, examining adherence to the whole approach presents significant methodological challenges.

The first part of the second AD@MS meeting consisted of a series of short presentations by participants detailing behavioural adherence to psychosocial interventions, diet and exercise. Next, a structured focus group (n=20) was used to compile future research prospects for physical activity adherence in MS (for detailed group information see the participant list below). A list of core questions was devised by the facilitators (PB) and the focus group lasted for ninety minutes.

Summary of major topics

Diet change and adherence
Data indicate that poor diet, obesity and physical inactivity are among the leading causes of death in developed countries. Healthy nutrition is strongly determined by a person’s socioeconomic status and is therefore a nomothetic issue. While there is some scientific agreement surrounding what constitutes optimal nutrition in the general population (4), there is no specific consensus in MS. Obesity causes fatigue and mobility problems in the general population and worsens mobility symptoms in MS. As such, dietary counselling in MS is highly relevant, but rarely discussed in the scientific literature. MS patients commonly seek an array of dietary interventions and supplements. Although many different diets such as vitamin D supplementation, low salt, and ketogenic diets have been touted for their possible therapeutic effects, current evidence may only justify supplementation with polyunsaturated fatty acids (5, 6). Studies promoting diets in MS beyond supplementary interventions are largely absent. With improved life expectancy, addressing co-morbidities like obesity becomes increasingly relevant to patients with MS.

**Behavioural interventions to manage neuropsychiatric symptoms and distress**

An increasing number of studies show beneficial effects of psychosocial interventions to control and manage common mental health difficulties in MS, including fatigue, depression and cognitive dysfunction (7-9). Despite these successes, psychosocial interventions generally show drop-out rates from 25 to 75% and lack long-term follow-up data (10). Measuring adherence to these interventions is a complex endeavour. In addition to missing appointments outright, patients fail to actively engage during, between, or after sessions. In contrast to drug regimens for chronic disease that typically must be taken indefinitely, psychosocial interventions may be applied in a low frequency for a defined number of sessions, some with occasional follow-up or "booster sessions". While session attendance can easily be measured, intra- and inter-session involvement depends on surrogates such as questionnaires, interviews and audio/video ratings. These interventions commonly promote adherence by using reminder calls, motivational interviewing, SMS texting, email, motivational enhancement techniques, and concrete support (e.g., scheduling, transportation). Homework completion has been shown to contribute substantially to treatment effects in psychosocial interventions (11). In addition, promoting a strong therapeutic alliance between patients and their providers based on trust may play a substantial role in fostering adherence. Based on the cognitive problems in MS specific neuropsychological interventions are increasingly studied (9). They need not only to handle the stigma of psychiatric disease but also the stigma of cognitive dysfunction.
Finally, although seldom studied, acceptance-oriented psychological interventions might improve openness to behaviour change.

**Physical activity**

Among other benefits, regular physical activity and exercise improve functional mobility and overall quality of life in MS (12). On the other hand, physical activity rates are reduced in MS leading to concerns about exercise adherence. Implementation of exercise programs within the community is one major key to increasing physical activity among patients with MS. This can be addressed by identifying theoretically-based determinants of physical activity (e.g., self-efficacy or outcome expectations as facilitators and bodily limitations as impediments) that can then be targeted by behavioural interventions or integrated into exercise training programs. Exercise studies in MS have shown as high as 80% adherence rates in the core study phase (13) but data 6 months or more post intervention are scarce. Moreover, how adherence is defined in exercise studies is highly variable and no gold standard exists for the requisite percentage of training sessions completed or the amount of in-session effort required. There is also limited evidence regarding optimal approaches for initiating and then maintaining changes in physical activity and very little research has been conducted among MS patients with a progressive course. MS patients may have additional barriers - including bodily limitations, difficulties with service access, and limited transportation. Various approaches to improving exercise adherence have been discussed, including group training, socialising via internet exchanges, and the application of gaming settings. Health education and financial incentives have also been proposed as possible means of improving exercise adherence. However, more research is needed to fully ascertain the efficacy of these approaches. Collectively, these voids in the literature served as a major impetus for the focus group to discuss exercise and physical activity.

**Focus group on adherence to physical activity in MS**

The focus group had one facilitator (PB), it lasted for 90 minutes, and was audio-recorded. The focus group data were analysed iteratively for emergent themes using qualitative interpretative analysis (14). The 20 participants were multidisciplinary (see listing below). The table illustrates the main findings emerging from the focus group analysis, performed by PB, JB and CH.
Conclusions

Drug and behavioural therapies both play a major role in the optimal management of multiple sclerosis. While a plethora of data suggest short term effects on patient-reported outcomes, the long-term impact of behavioural interventions on psychological wellbeing, symptom management, illness-related impairment, activity, and healthcare participation is not clear. The effectiveness of behavioural interventions frequently depends upon the continued application of newly acquired skills. As such, adherence research is at the core of all behavioural interventions. The group agreed that any large behavioural intervention study should include maintenance data beyond month 6 post treatment. In addition, it was agreed that multiple levels of adherence data should be obtained and reported for all behavioural trials. Finally, there was consensus that there is a lack of information regarding how patients and health professionals perceive nonadherence to behavioural interventions.
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Table: Research issues derived from the focus group regarding physical activity (PA), exercise and adherence

**PA and exercise adherence mechanisms**

- There is a need for greater understanding of the mechanisms by which PA and exercise impacts on outcomes ranging from quality of life through MS pathophysiology processes (i.e. inflammation, degeneration, progression).

- There is a need to design longitudinal studies to understand adherence to PA and exercise over the long-term. This includes selection and application of suitable psychological models that explain health behaviour change.

- It is acknowledged as a limitation that MS patients with excessive fatigue and/or significant cognitive deficits have not been included in exercise trials.

**Patient focused questions**

- What do MS patients know and understand about the importance of PA and exercise in maintaining good health?

- What priority do MS patients give to physical activity and exercise, given all the other adherence related issues they need to deal with? What do they expect as result from engaging in a physically active lifestyle and exercise?

- How do MS patients’ socio-economic and familial/social networks influence perception of and adhere to exercise interventions?

**Health Professional focused questions**

- What do health care professionals know about the benefits and wider determinants of PA and exercise in MS, what sources of evidence do they draw on and how are these issues communicated to patients (especially in more disabled or fatigued patients)?