1 Introduction

1.1 DNA sensors A biosensor is a device that uses a biological receptor for the detection of an analyte that usually is (but does not need to be) a biological substance too (Fig. 1). In general, the detection is performed by selective, biological receptors (probes) such as enzymes, antibodies, nucleic acids, membranes or cells, whereas biomimetic sensors use synthetic receptors, such as molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs). The physical component in a biosensor is called the transducer, and it serves a double function: it has to immobilize the receptors and translate possible recognition events between receptor and target into a physical output signal, most often an optical or electronic signal.

In case of a deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sensor, the receptor part consists of end-tethered single stranded (ss) DNA probes (Fig. 1), often referred to as oligonucleotides, or oligos in short. The detection is aimed at indicating whether the target – namely ss-DNA with a base sequence complementary to that of the probes – is present in a sample of unknown test DNA. The complementarity between probe and target DNA provides high sensitivity and specificity to the sensor. It is caused by the principle of base pairing: DNA consists of a sequence of four bases, adenine (A), thymine (T), cytosine (C), and guanine (G), that can be paired as A=T, and the more stable C=G pair, involving two and three hydrogen bonds respectively. The binding process is called ‘hybridization’ and brings about the

This article reviews the current state-of-the-art of diamond-based DNA sensors. Some general concepts involved in biosensors are introduced and applied to DNA sensors. The properties of chemically vapor deposited (CVD) diamond relevant for this application are summed up, with special attention for the stability and bio-compatibility of the material. Several routes to functionalize the diamond surface are considered. The physical properties of the obtained DNA layers are discussed in terms of surface density and molecular conformation. Possible read-out strategies are evaluated, including optical and electronic sensing. With diamond-based DNA sensors, real-time and label-free sensing is achieved.

The functionalization of CVD diamond surfaces with DNA is considered for the development of new DNA sensors with optical or electronic read-out.
secondary structure of a double stranded (ds) helix, whereas the destruction of the bonds between the strands and the associated duplex-form is called ‘denaturation’ or ‘melting’ (by increasing temperature or changing the chemical environment). Most DNA sensors are based on the detection of hybridization.

Regarding the required sensitivity of a DNA sensor, it is worth mentioning that the smallest difference in the primary structure of two DNA strands is a difference in just one base (1-mismatch). If such a point-mutation is prevalent in at least five percent of the population, it is called a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) [1]. Many SNPs in many genes have been identified so far. Most of them are silent (without any effect), or cause harmless variations (e.g. affecting eye or hair color), but some are related to diseases (e.g. sickle cell anemia). Hence, the intended sensitivity of a DNA sensor is to distinguish between fully complementary target ss-DNA and 1-mismatch ss-DNA. DNA sensors with SNP-sensitivity can be put to good use, not only for disease diagnosis aimed at defects already known, but also for the discovery and identification of new defects.

### Figure 1
(online colour at: www.pss-a.com) Design of a generic biosensor, with indication of the nature of some parts for the specific case of a diamond-based DNA sensor.

To hold the receptors fixed and to allow the read-out of possible recognition events, the receptors are immobilized onto a physical transducer by physisorption or covalent bonds, usually involving linker molecules. For DNA sensors it is a common approach to produce layers of terminally attached probe ss-DNA molecules onto a solid support (DNA brushes), where they can hybridize to target ss-DNA molecules from solution. However, not all groups attach the probe fragments in head-on configuration: Davis et al. adsorb probe ss-DNA on screen-printed carbon-electrodes coated with polyethylenimine and report good results for the electronic read-out of hybridization events [2, 3].

### 1.2 CVD diamond
In addition to commonly used support materials for DNA sensors such as glass, plastic and silicon, chemically vapor deposited (CVD) diamond is an excellent transducer. We present several arguments in support of this statement: we will address the stability and biocompatibility of diamond, and review different surface

### Figure 2
(online colour at: www.pss-a.com) Ball and stick model illustrating the bulk structure of a cubic diamond crystal, \(2 \times fcc\): the yellow and red positions each form one fcc structure. The C–C bond length is 0.154 nm.
treatments aimed at the introduction of functional groups and DNA. We will also look into the relevant properties of diamond for it to be used successfully as the relevant properties of diamond for it to be used successfully as the transducer of an optical, electronic, or acoustic DNA sensor.

First, we look into some general properties of the material. Natural diamond is a mineral, an allotrope of the element carbon that is formed inside the Earth [4]. Its crystalline structure, 2\times face-centered cubic (fcc), can be seen in Fig. 2. Because mineral diamond is too rare and its properties cannot be tuned, this material is of limited use for scientific and technological applications. However, diamond can also be produced by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) from a carbon-containing process gas, usually methane (CH4). This is called a metastable growth method, because growing diamond under the relatively low pressure and temperature conditions involved, requires continuous and selective etching of the more stable graphite deposit. To this aim, a relatively high amount of hydrogen gas (H2) is introduced in the plasma [5].

CVD diamond can be mono- or polycrystalline. Whereas monocrystalline diamond requires a diamond substrate (usually obtained from high pressure and high temperature, HPHT, synthesis), polycrystalline diamond can be grown on different materials, such as silicon (Si) and quartz (SiO2), and is dominated by columnar growth. For grain sizes below 500 nm, the CVD film is called nanocrystalline diamond (NCD); after a certain thickness, the grain size near the surface exceeds 500 nm and the film becomes microcrystalline diamond (µ-CD). The increase of grain size and surface roughness with longer growth times is illustrated with atomic force microscopy (AFM) images in Fig. 3b–d. A slightly different material is ultra-nanocrystalline diamond (UNCD) (Fig. 3a): the grain size and surface roughness are not dependent on the film thickness, because these films do not show columnar growth. The material is grown from a H-poor/CH4-rich plasma, to achieve an extremely high rate of re-nucleation [6, 7]. More details on CVD diamond in general can be found in reviews such as [8], or textbooks such as [9–13].

### 1.3 Stability of CVD diamond in bio-applications

Diamond is very inert in diverse chemical environments, which may be beneficial for the development of chemical sensors. For the design of biosensors however, it is also necessary to know what the influence is of diamond on a biological environment. Whereas full biocompatibility is not required for DNA sensors, this property is an important issue for in vivo biosensors: some general advantages of implants covered with diamond coatings are high biotolerance, biocompatibility, corrosion resistance and protection against metallosis (bone infection due to metal implant) [14]. The biocompatibility of CVD diamond was found to be at least equivalent to titanium and stainless steel, two materials commonly used for implants [15]: minimal inflammatory response was observed for both polished and unpolished CVD diamond implants. Also Aspenberg et al. found no foreign body response towards diamond particles with a mean size of 1 µm (in contrast to cobalt–chromium–molybdenum debris particles of the same size), and conclude from the observed benign histological reactions that implantation of diamond-coated SiC is harmless [16]. Both studies addressed only the local influence of diamond-coated implants. Bakowicz et al. performed in vitro and in vivo tests with NCD powders, as a model for possible effects of NCD coatings on distant organs [17]. In the in vivo part, the diamond powders were found to play a protective role in the human body by slowing down free radical toxic reactions that damage cells and tissues (e.g. by inhibiting lipid peroxidation in blood plasma). In the in vivo study with rats, no immune response was observed after 10 days, and a very low reaction was ascertained after 21 days. The powders did not damage structure tissues or proliferation of connective tissue. From this, Bakowicz et al. conclude that NCD coatings are safe for biomedical implants. In a study by Okrój et al. [18], NCD surfaces were found to reduce the adhesion of blood platelets as compared to stainless steel, by reducing the plasma protein adhesion; thus, diamond seems to be an appropriate material to be used in contact with human blood. Schrand et al. studied diamond particles of 2–10 nm, with and without acidic or basic surface modification: they were found to be biocompatible with a variety of cells of different origins, and once inside the cell the nanodiamond particles remained non-reactive [19].

Whereas future in vivo biosensors might clearly benefit from the use of CVD diamond transducers, we come back to our case of in vitro DNA sensors. For DNA sensor applications, it is usually not required to use freestanding...
diamond: NCD and UNCD films on Si are easier to produce and cheaper, while the surface exhibits most advantages of the material. Experiments by Yang et al. on photo-chemically modified diamond indicate that the de-
naturation-hybridization cycle can be repeated on (U)NCD up to 30 times [20]. Our group has confirmed for a different linking method that 35 de-
naturation-hybridization cy-
cles are possible on NCD [21]. These results imply that diamond-based DNA chips have a superior long-term sta-
ilarity with minor signal loss.

In conclusion, the stability of CVD diamond is a prom-
ising option to create an in vivo biosensor, due to the bio-
compatibility of diamond, or a reusable biosensor for in vitro application, such as a DNA sensor.

2 Functionalization of CVD diamond surfaces

Biological molecules and diamond have something essen-
tial in common: carbon. Diamond is a covalent crystal form of carbon, whereas the versatile properties of bio-
molecules – from fatty acids to DNA – are due to the same element. This fact can be used to design stable and reus-
able DNA sensors: a covalent bond between the outermost carbon atom of a diamond surface and a carbon atom belonging to an organic linker molecule would be a C–C bond, which is more stable than a Si–C or Au–C bond for instance (average binding energies can be found in [22]). (U)NCD-coated silicon was indeed found to be a very stable platform for DNA [20]. Of course, other carbon-containing materials have the same advantage. How-
ever, the diamond substrate itself is more stable than its alternatives too, as discussed in the previous section.

The renowned inertness of diamond is not advanta-
geous when it comes to the initial functionalization, de-
manding extreme chemical conditions. Several routes to efficiently functionalize the diamond surface have been developed nevertheless, as will be reviewed now.

2.1 Hydrogen-terminated and clean (recon-
structed) diamond surfaces

At the end of the CVD process, the freshly grown diamond film is in contact with a pure hydrogen-plasma. Thus, the dangling bonds are saturated with monovalent H. As an example, the surface reconstructions for an (100) diamond surface are given in Fig. 4. The occurrence of C(100) 1×1:2H (Fig. 4a) sur-
faces is prevented by steric hindrance; rather mixed 1×1:2H and 2×1:H surfaces are to be expected [23]. The double-bonded dimers introduce occupied π- and un-
occupied π*-states in the band gap; the dimers are spatially separated from each other, and the surface is non-metallic. For the (111) surface, we mention the Pandey (2×1) re-
construction [24]: the C atoms at the uppermost positions form zigzag chains, π-bonded as in graphite, and the sur-
fase becomes semi-metallic.

The H-termination (‘hydrogenation’) of a diamond sur-
face is very stable in ambient air, in contrast to Si for in-
stance. A diamond sample that has been surface-modified for experiments can be cleaned by putting it in a strongly oxidizing environment and back in an H-plasma afterwards. H-terminated diamond is very hydrophobic: water contact angles around 90° and higher are reported for H-terminated μ-CD, NCD and UNCD surfaces [25–27]. Also the el-
e
tronic properties are quite extraordinary and of interest for sensing applications, as will be described further on.

2.2 Oxidized diamond surfaces

Hydrogen-terminated diamond in air at room temperature (RT) will not spontaneously oxidize. Oxidized diamond surfaces can be obtained however from oxygen plasma, ozone (O3) treat-
ment or wet chemical treatments. An example of the latter is by a mixture of boiling sulfuric acid (H2SO4) and potas-
sium nitrate (KNO3). Once the reaction of H2SO4 with KNO3 starts, a fume of nitric acid (HNO3) escapes, another strong acid and oxidizing agent. Alternatively, H-terminated diamond can be oxidized locally by AFM using negatively biased Au [28] or Si cantilevers [29, 30]. According to Ando et al. [31], heating hydrogenated dia-
mond in an O2 environment above 300 °C oxidizes dia-
mond (with C=O and C–O–C groups), while hydrogenated dia-
mond starts to burn in 20% O2 above 480 °C. Based on wettability studies, it was shown by Larsson et al. that oxidation by mixtures of O2 and H2O becomes efficient from temperatures of 450 °C and up, whereas for pure O2 temperatures higher than 500 °C are required [32]; in their paper, also a molecular dynamics study modeling these oxidation pro-
cesses is presented. The oxidation rate depends on the crystalline orientation and increases from (100) over (111) to (110) [33]. However, heating diamond to temperatures above 1000 °C in vacuum or in an atmosphere of inert ar-
gon is found to cause graphitization [33, 34].

For the (100)–(1×1):O surface, e.g. obtained from plasma treatment, the two most-plausible arrangements are the “ether” or “bridge”, and “ketone” or “carbonyl” con-
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Figure 4 (online colour at: www.pss-a.com)

Surface reconstructions on the (100) dia-
mond surface.
In the “ether” or “bridge” arrangement, the O atom bridges two surface C atoms and makes a single bond to each (C–O–C) (Fig. 5a). In the ketone configuration, the O atom is double-bonded to a single surface C atom (C=O), with the axis of this carbonyl group normal to the surface (Fig. 5b). According to Sque et al., the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level in the bridge configuration is significantly lower than that in the ketone system, making the bridge system the more stable one (although the ketone system may be metastable) [36].

For chemically oxidized diamond surfaces, additional oxygen-containing species are expected, such as hydroxyl (–OH) (Fig. 5c) and carboxyl (–COOH) [37]. These groups are responsible for the hydrophilic character of oxidized diamond surfaces: water contact angles of 45° and lower are reported for µ-CD, NCD and UNCD in [25–27]. By deconvolution of the oxygen peak observed by X-ray photo-electron spectroscopy (XPS), we have studied the oxides on single-crystalline diamond in some detail [26]. With the reservation that the deconvolution and the assignment of the peaks remains arbitrary to a certain degree, we estimated that 65.0% of all oxygen was present in the form of carbonyl and hydroxyl (also as part of carboxyl) (with peak position at 531.0 eV); 12.0% of the peak intensity was due to oxygen in bridge structures (at 531.9 eV); the abundance of double-bonded oxygen atoms in carboxyl was estimated to be 14.5% (at 532.8 eV); and oxygen in other forms accounts for the other 8.5% (at 533.9 eV).

### 2.3 Reaction of halogens to diamond surfaces

Several methods have been developed to introduce various other groups on the diamond surface. In particular, the termination of CVD diamond by halogens – fluorine and the larger chlorine – has received some attention in literature. Because H-terminated diamond surfaces are not very reactive, whereas oxidized surfaces are less well-defined (in that they exhibit a mixture of O-containing groups) chlorinated surfaces are interesting intermediates for further functionalization. Monofluoride termination of H-free diamond (100) and (111) surfaces has been achieved by Freedman [38] by exposure to beams of atomic F in vacuum: atomic F breaks surface dimer bonds in a similar way as H-atoms. A similar treatment with beams of chlorine results in a weak chemisorption of Cl on diamond (100) surfaces [38]. The chemisorption of F, Cl, HF, and HCl on (100) diamond has subsequently been described by an ab initio study of Hukka et al. [39]. Hadenhfeldt and Benndorf have experimentally observed that F and Cl uptake on a (100) surface can be increased substantially by starting with an H-terminated surface [40].

A second approach to obtain Cl-termination has been proposed by Miller and Brown [41, 42]: they use an Hg-arc lamp for the ultraviolet (UV) illumination of H-terminated polycrystalline diamond and diamond powders in Cl₂. In a subsequent step, the chlorinated surface is UV illuminated under ammonia (NH₃) to obtain amine-modified diamond (–NH₃ termination). Later, Miller also achieved thiol-modification (–SH termination) by using H₂S instead of NH₃ [43].

Ando et al. reported the fluorination of diamond surfaces using CF₄ plasma [31] and F₂ molecules [44]. Chlorinated diamond is obtained by thermal reaction of H-terminated diamond with molecular chlorine (Cl₂) [45]. This is used as an intermediate to obtain hydroxyl groups (–OH termination) by treatment with water vapor at RT, amino groups by treatment with NH₃ at 425 °C, and –CF groups by treatment with CHF₃ at 600 °C. The thermal reaction of H-terminated diamond with atomic chlorine Cl was introduced by Chaney and Feigerle [46]: a strong preference of Cl to chemisorb on (100) diamond is reported. The influence of temperature during the thermal amination process has been further evaluated by Sotowa et al. [47].

A fourth method to chlorinate diamond, by treatment with sulfuryl chloride in chloroform at 50 °C, was used by Ikeda et al. [48]. A small fraction of butyl groups was introduced on the surface, to which DNA could be ligated, according to Uschizawa et al. [50]. Our group tested the straightforward application of this thymidine-recipe for the covalent immobilization of dsDNA on solid µ-CD films [26, 51], rather than diamond.
powders. The intended result of the different process steps can be seen in Fig. 6. The dsDNA was labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) as well as digoxigenin (DIG) for evaluation by confocal fluorescence microscopy and a version of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) adapted for diamond [26], respectively.

After the modification steps with thionyl chloride and thymidine, a layer of soft material is deposited on the diamond surface, as is evidenced by contact mode AFM images [52]; the irregular structures observed there are probably not beneficial for homogeneous coverage with DNA. Upon introduction of FITC-labeled 250 bp dsDNA, confocal fluorescence microscopy results seem to indicate that the binding is successful. However, after washing the surface with 2× saline sodium citrate (SSC), the results of both the functionality test with ELISA and fluorescence microscopy were negative.

We can envisage two possible reasons for the lack of success with the thymidine-route on solid supports. First, it is likely that the initial oxidation of the diamond surface is more efficient to introduce a sufficient amount of COOH groups on diamond powder, than on the surface of diamond films. XPS reveals that only a low amount of required COOH groups is present on a µ-CD surface after oxidation in heated H₂SO₄ and KNO₃; carboxyl groups account for 14.5% of the oxygen present on the diamond surface. The formation of carboxyl requires three dangling bonds: so the concerned carbon atom cannot be sp³-hybridized; it has to be an ad-atom, not part of the diamond lattice. It could well be that grains of diamond show more defects, more carbon atoms with three dangling bonds, and thus more carboxylic groups after oxidation. However, since we failed to attach ds-DNA to NCD as well, this hypothesis does not seem very plausible.

Second, even if the initial amount of carboxylic groups is enough, the ligase might not be working properly close to a solid support, whereas it can ‘wrap around’ small grains. In case of a solid support, the DNA molecules could be physically adsorbed on the surface, making H-bonds with thymidine, but without making covalent bonds.

In any case, the thymidine link employed in this method is rather short in comparison with e.g. the amine-linker consisting of a sequence of 10 carbon atoms used in [20] and in our own later work [21]. The choice of such a short link could possibly facilitate electronic read-out of hybridization events via the underlying substrate. The use of a longer link on the other hand could make denaturation and rehybridization more probable (higher steric freedom).

2.4 Organic linker molecules attached to diamond surfaces
UV illumination cannot only be used for the Cl-termination of diamond [41, 42]: various alkenes can be introduced onto the diamond surface via UV irradiation of H-terminated diamond as well [53], by covering the surface with liquid films of the appropriate alkenes; this technique was used to prepare diamond surfaces terminated with carboxyl and amino groups. This reaction is similar to the introduction of alkenes on H-terminated silicon surfaces, where the reaction can be induced thermally, or by visible (VIS) or UV light [54–56], which allows photo-patterning [57]. Yang et al. published a similar method for covalent DNA attachment on NCD and UNCD surfaces [20]. In their approach, vinyl groups of organic molecules (10-aminodec-1-ene protected by trifluoroacetamide, TFAAD) were UV-linked to the H-terminated diamond surface, by 254 nm light of 0.35 mW/cm² intensity. After a deprotection step, thiol-modified DNA could be tethered to (U)NCD surfaces, applying the intercalating crosslinker sulfosuccinimidyl 4-N-maleimidomethyl cyclohexane-1-carboxylate (SSMCC) (Fig. 7a).

We developed a simpler variation of this scheme in 2005 [21, 58]. In this route (Fig. 7b), carboxylic acid groups are introduced on the surface directly, by photo-attachment of 10-undecenoic acid (UA) (so no de-protection step is re-
required), after which the zero-length (non-intercalating) crosslinker 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (EDC) is applied to covalently couple amino-modified probe DNA to the pre-treated diamond surface. Next, the DNA probe length and concentration, and the washing steps have been optimized [59]. Short ssDNA probes (8–36 b) were found to be more efficient than longer probes (250 b), confirming the findings in [60], and applied probe concentrations of 300 pmol/cm² were found to yield optimal probe surface density. Hot acetic acid was found to be most efficient in removing excess UA-linker molecules after the photo-reaction, and 2× SSC containing 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was best to remove excess probe DNA after the EDC-step.

Three important advantages of the original method developed by Yang et al. [20] are retained by this simpler version: the establishment of a stable carbon–carbon bond between the diamond surface and the organic linker molecule, the tunable linker length, and the possibility of straightforward patterning of the probe DNA layer by use of shadow masks during the photo-attachment of the linker layer, as we have shown in [59]. Excellent contrast could be obtained in these photo-patterning experiments (Fig. 8) because the non-illuminated parts on the surface remain H-terminated, and using optimized washing steps no adsorption of DNA was observed on H-terminated diamond. The UA-EDC-attachment scheme shown in Fig. 7b requires only two process steps (instead of four), all products are readily available, and the simple design of the molecular scaffold between DNA and diamond surface may facilitate electronic signaling when applied in an electronic DNA sensor.

Figure 7 (online colour at: www.pss-a.com) Photo-attachment of organic linker molecules to hydrogenated diamond surfaces, followed by covalent coupling of ssDNA. a) Original method published in [20], involving four process steps. b) Simplified method published in [21], requiring only two process steps. (The respective molecules are not drawn to scale.)

Figure 8 (online colour at: www.pss-a.com) a) Transmission image of grid with (45 µm)² open squares and 20 µm wide bars, used as shadow mask during photo-attachment of the UA linker layer. b) Fluorescence image of the resulting pattern in the Alexa Fluor 488-labeled 8 b ssDNA layer on UNCD. Both images show an area of ~ (245 µm)².
This method proved to be very reproducible and has been repeated successfully by Zhong et al. [61]: in their publication it was also shown that the photo-attachment of UA does not improve by the use of trifluoroethyl as a protective headgroup. In the meantime, we have shown that the UA-EDC-method for DNA functionalization is also applicable to Si(100) [27].

The principle behind the sub-band gap UV activation of H-terminated diamond surfaces is currently still under discussion. Here an attempt is made to summarize what has been elucidated so far. For simplicity, undoped diamond will be considered. The photo-attachment is indeed effective on undoped diamond [62], and because of this electron transport through the bulk can already be excluded. No direct cleavage of the C–H bonds under 254 nm illumination has been observed by Nichols et al. [63]. The H-terminated diamond surface exhibits upward band bending near the surface. Despite this, the energy difference between the valence band (VB) maximum and conduction band (CB) minimum near the surface is still equal to the bulk band gap of diamond:

\[ E_{BG} = 5.47 \text{ eV} \]

Clearly the UV photons (of 254 nm or 4.88 eV) do not possess enough energy to excite VB electrons into the CB, where they could be emitted (above the vacuum energy level, due to negative electron affinity, NEA) and cause a chemical reaction.

In the paper by Nichols et al. [63], two different pathways were envisaged for electronic ejection into the alkene containing liquid phase: either excitation from surface states, slightly above the VB, to the CB, followed by diffusion and emission, or direct photo-emission from the VB to the vacuum level, without passing through the conduction band. In their article, they also presented the energy levels of the alkene molecule TFAAD used in the route of Fig. 7a: the unoccupied \( \pi^* \)-states of this molecule are found to have an energy almost equal to that of the vacuum level.

Ab initio density-functional calculations have shown that H-termination introduces “several unoccupied surface states below the bulk conduction band” [36]. In other words: on H-terminated diamond less energy than \( E_{BG} \) is required to excite electrons from the VB top into those surface states. Based on this finding, Shin et al. conclude that the optical excitation of VB electrons, located a maximum ~2 nm from the outermost surface, into empty, H-induced surface states (with energies slightly above vacuum level) triggers the covalent bonding of alkenes [62]. These states have sub-second life-time; from them, electrons can tunnel into unoccupied \( \pi^* \)-states of liquid phase alkene molecules. Nichols et al. derive that only 1 out of 1000 photo-excited electrons results in surface bonding [63]; Shin et al. report a quantum efficiency of 1 in 1600 [62].

Above articles indicate that the process is possible on H-terminated single crystals. Yet, the higher the sp\(^2\)-content of the diamond, the more additional surface states are present that allow for excitation into the liquid phase energy levels. Therefore, more alkene molecules can be attached to UNCD than to NCD, µ-CD, or monocrystalline diamond, respectively.

### 2.5 Electrochemically modified diamond surfaces

Kuo et al. introduced aromatic groups onto the surface of B-doped diamond by the electrochemical reduction of phenyl diazonium salts on the surface [64]. Diamond powders exposed to NO\(_2\) near RT were found to have stably adsorbed RNO\(_x\) and RO\(_x\) species (in which R stands for radical) on the surface by Azambre et al. [65]. Also this type of surface functionalization can be applied to the coupling of probe ssDNA to diamond – although limited to the case of B-doped diamond. This was done by Yang et al. [66]: nitrophenyl salt is introduced to the surface by reduction and an amino group is created after further reduction. Once the amino-functionality is present, the covalent attachment of thiol-modified ss-DNA can be realized by the previously discussed method from the same authors [20], i.e. using the intercalating crosslinker SSMCC. The corresponding reaction scheme is depicted in Fig. 9. Although this method does not have the advantage of tunable linker length, it does exhibit a covalent C–C bond between diamond and linker.

![Figure 9](online colour at: www.pss-a.com) Reduction of nitrophenyl salt at the hydrogenated surface of doped diamond, followed by the coupling of thiol-modified ss-DNA to the amino group on the surface using the intercalating crosslinker SSMCC. (Redrawn from [66]; respective molecules not drawn to scale.)
Pure chemical grafting of diazonium salts to B-doped diamond has been reported by Shul et al. [67]: in the presence of ionic solvents (instead of an aqueous solution) the reaction does not require thermal or optical activation, nor an external bias. More details on the electrochemical modification of diamond surfaces, including the subsequent introduction of biomolecules other than DNA, can be found in [68, 69].

In short, the above surface terminations give sufficient options for the activation of diamond surfaces and the subsequent introduction of biomolecules: once amino-, hydroxyl or carboxyl groups are present on the surface, standard chemical methods involving cross-linkers can be applied to couple almost any biomolecule to the surface. The diamond surface has been functionalized successfully with various biomolecules: not only with DNA as was already discussed, but also with immunoglobulins G and M (IgG and IgM) [70], and enzymes such as catalase [71], urease, glucose, oxidase [72], and horse radish peroxidase [73].

3 Physical properties of DNA layers immobilized on diamond

The preparation, characterization and understanding of layers of terminally attached DNA molecules are important factors for improving genetic assays. For good sensor functioning (hybridization), in particular the density and the orientation of the DNA molecules in the sensing layer are important parameters: the DNA layer should have a high density, while avoiding steric hindering, and the orientation of the strands should preferably be perpendicular to the surface. First, some theoretical parameters will be introduced, that allow for the description of the organization of DNA layers. Then, some experimental results of DNA brushes on diamond will be discussed.

3.1 Polymer brushes

Polymers in solution can be modeled as worm-like chains (WLC), also called Kratky–Porod chains [74]. An important parameter in WLC theory is the persistence length, \( l_p \): it is the length over which the average bend due to thermal excitations equals 1 rad. The persistence length is experimentally found to be \( \sim 2 \) nm for ss-DNA [75, 76] and \( \sim 50 \) nm for dsDNA under physiological conditions [77].

The ratio of the stretched-out DNA length \( L_p \) divided by \( l_p \) can be regarded as a measure for the flexibility of the molecule: the smaller the fraction, the more it behaves like a stiff rod; if the fraction is considerably higher than unity, the molecule will behave more like a flexible polymer and coil up. The average expansion of a DNA polymer in a solvent can be characterized by its average radius (Fig. 10a): the radius of gyration \( (R_g) \), or Flory radius [78],

\[
R_g = \sqrt{\frac{l_g \cdot L_p}{3}}.
\]

Equation (1) only holds for (DNA) polymers in a so-called theta-solution [78], in which the polymer conformation can be approximated as a random walk (with a Gaussian distribution function). Typically, aqueous solutions form a good solvent for DNA, governed by excluded-volume interactions (due to electrostatic repulsion): this is associated with a larger Flory radius. Experimentally it has been confirmed that the conformation of isolated DNA chains in aqueous solutions under physiological conditions follows \( R_g \sim L_g^{0.57} \) rather than \( L_g^{0.5} \) [79]. The presence of electrolytes other than DNA (e.g. salt ions) in the solution will screen the intersegment repulsion, decreasing the Flory radius: \( R_g \sim L_g^{0.3} \) [80, 81].

DNA sensors involve probe layers of oligos that are end-tethered to the sensor surface, rather than dissolved freely in solution. An important distinction to be made here is between ‘dilute’ and ‘dense’ layers of end-tethered DNA. We will call the layer ‘dilute’ when the spacing, \( D \), between neighboring DNA anchoring points is comparable to, or larger than \( 2 \cdot R_g \), which implies that the strands cannot interact substantially (Fig. 10b). This polymer conformation is said to be mushroom-like. When the DNA is in a dense layer, with \( D \) smaller than \( 2 \cdot R_g \), the molecules do interact, and the conformation and orientation of the molecules can become strongly affected by these interactions (Fig. 10c). This type of polymer layer is called a polymer brush, as introduced by de Gennes [82, 83]. Clearly, apart from the layer density, also the DNA probe length is an important factor to be optimized in the development of a DNA sensor. For layers of short DNA (\( L \sim l_g \)) no coiling is expected. Instead, the molecules are almost straight and the steric interactions in case of a dense layer may result in a characteristic tilt angle, \( \theta_{\tilde{t}} \).

3.2 DNA density and conformation on diamond

3.2.1 Nano-shaving

The density, orientation and binding strength of DNA to mono- and polycrystalline diamond surfaces have been investigated by AFM measurements in buffer solutions [62, 84–86]. In particular, nano-shaving experiments are used: part of the functionalized surface is mapped by tapping mode AFM, then a
smaller area is selected and scanned in contact mode AFM, removing the organic top-layer. By scanning the larger area once more in tapping mode, the height difference between the smaller, bare area and the surrounding, still functionalized parts can be established: this is the ds-DNA layer thickness ($T$). For 16 bp ds-DNA on top of a 15 b ss-DNA-tail attached to single crystalline diamond using the photo-chemical method in Fig. 7a, $T$ is found to range from 6.5 nm to 9.2 nm [86]. Combining this result with a simple geometric model, an interval of values for the tilt angle can be calculated: the tilt angle is estimated as $\arcsin(T/L)$, with $L$ the stretched-out DNA length. Thus, by nano-shaving AFM experiments tilt angles of $30^\circ$–$36^\circ$ are found for 16 bp ds-DNA on top of a 15 b ss-DNA-tail for dense layers on single crystalline diamond [62]. Shin et al. report a tilt angle of $46^\circ$ [62] for the same type of DNA immobilized with the electrochemical method of Fig. 9. With contact mode AFM, also the binding strength between the DNA layer and the diamond surface can be measured: the immobilization methods of Fig. 7a or Fig. 9 are compared and both yield covalent bonds [86].

Rezek et al. found a DNA coverage of $\sim6 \times 10^{12}$ per cm$^2$ for the photo-chemical method [86]. For comparison, a surface coverage of $\sim2 \times 10^{14}$ linker molecules per cm$^2$ is reported for the same immobilization method measured by XPS [62, 63]; so the result by Rezek et al. indicates that about 3% of the available linkers serves as an anchor for DNA. Elsewhere, a coverage of $\sim1.8 \times 10^{10}$ DNA molecules per cm$^2$ is reported for a different immobilization method [87]. There are $\sim3 \times 10^{15}$ dangling bonds per area of 1 cm$^2$ on an ideal, unreconstructed (100) crystal (Fig. 4a), but taking into account a ds-DNA cross-section of $\sim2$ nm$^2$, only $\sim2.5 \times 10^{13}$ ds-DNA molecules can fit on an area of 1 cm$^2$. However, this absolute maximum coverage is not preferable: the biological functionality of the DNA molecules (hybridization and denaturation) decreases due to steric hindrance. Thus, the reported value of $\sim6 \times 10^{12}$ per cm$^2$ is close to the theoretical maximum, and indicates sub-nanometer spacing between the dsDNA molecules.

### 3.2.2 IR and UV ellipsometry

We investigated the optical properties of DNA brushes on diamond with spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE) [27]. Whereas ellipsometry using a fixed excitation wavelength is mainly used for determining layer thicknesses, SE allows for the calculation of more parameters, such as the optical constants (refractive index $n$ and extinction coefficient $k$), the roughness and orientation of molecules [88]. We applied SE in the infrared (IR) and vacuum UV range to investigate the orientation of DNA layers on (U)NCD surfaces. So far, all surfaces have been measured in the dry phase; future measurements on DNA brushes submerged in buffer solutions are scheduled.

The signature of DNA in IR SE was first recorded on a sample containing a multilayer of 250 bp ds-DNA (0.2 µm thick) adsorbed on NCD; the same spectral features could be identified for a monolayer of 250 b ss-DNA on NCD (prepared by the method of Fig. 7b), where the DNA layer thickness was found to be 40 nm, or about one fourth of the stretched-out length of the molecules. For these highly flexible single strands coiling is expected, so a preferential orientation or specific tilt angle is not likely. Even for better organized layers of shorter DNA, the orientation could not be deduced based on IR SE alone, due to the complexity of the bands in the ‘amide I’ band (related to vibrations of amino and carbonyl groups [89, 90]).

Because measurements on thin, biological layers in the far UV require a synchrotron excitation source, the spectra have been collected at the BESSY II storage ring in Berlin (Germany). In this spectral range, the orientation calculation is achieved by a method originally developed by Silaghi et al. for guanine films on Si [91]: from the vacuum UV spectra, the components of $n$ and $k$ can be calculated for directions in- and out-of-plane of the diamond surface. Based on the electronic transition observed at 4.74 eV (261 nm), originating from the $\pi^*-\pi^*$ transition dipole moments of the individual DNA bases, the average orientation of the bases can be calculated, and, perpendicular to that, the average tilt angle of the DNA molecules.

Unlike the layers of 250 b ss-DNA on NCD studied with IR SE, for layers of shorter DNA on UNCD (prepared as in Fig. 7b), some directional preference is likely, and we confirm the layers to be optically anisotropic. For the best-fit simulation of the 3.7 nm thick 8 b ss-DNA layer, we find an average tilt angle of $45^\circ$ with respect to the surface plane, for the 7.6 nm thick 36 b ss-DNA layer we observe an angle of $49^\circ$, and for the 10.8 nm thick 29 bp ds-DNA (connected to an A$_s$-ss-tail) layer we obtain an angle of $52^\circ$ (Fig. 11) [27]. The mean square error in the best-fit simulation was a factor of 3 better for these anisotropic conditions in comparison to an isotropic fit.

The values found here are comparable to those reported on Au surfaces: for 15 bp ds-DNA an average tilt angle of $55^\circ$–$60^\circ$ with respect to the surface plane is found [92], and for 21–50 bp ds-DNA average tilt angles of $40^\circ$–$50^\circ$ from the surface are measured [93]. Yet, our values are larger than those already mentioned, obtained by nano-shaving AFM experiments [62] ($30^\circ$–$36^\circ$ for 16 bp ds-DNA on top of a 15 b ss-DNA-tail). If we apply the same geometrical analysis as in this article, where the tilt angle is estimated as $\arcsin(T/L)$ with $T$ the DNA layer thickness and $L$ the DNA length, we get $50^\circ$ for 29 bp ds-DNA connected to a 7 b ss-DNA-tail. For the flexible ss-DNA of 8 b and 36 b, the assumption that the molecules are straight does not apply; the layer thickness is equal to two times the Flory radius of the molecules.

The reported tilt angles represent the average DNA orientation towards the plane of the surface on a macroscopic scale, but they are influenced both by the intermolecular arrangement, and the topography of the underlying UNCD surface. The initial UNCD surface with the UA-linker layer has an RMS roughness of $\sim16$ nm, which is of the same order or larger than the stretched-out DNA
Feature

4 Read-out strategies for diamond-based biosensors

The general goal of the diamond-based DNA-sensor research is to develop a prototype biosensor for diagnostic purposes, based on DNA covalently attached to CVD diamond, with the same sensitivity and specificity as the commonly used methods, such as blotting techniques, and micro-arrays. Preferably, the detection would be faster (real-time) and cheaper (label-free and usable by non-specialists) than these conventional methods [94].

Although different read-out strategies are available, we will focus on optical and electronic sensing, because these have provided the best results so far. Transparent transducer materials like glass and quartz, usually coated with poly-L-lysine, are interesting for biosensor applications with optical read-out (e.g. colorimetry or fluorescence), while conducting or semiconducting substrates like Au and Si allow electronic read-out (e.g. voltammetry, impedance or field effect transistor, FET, measurements). It will be shown that CVD diamond has favorable properties for both classes of measuring principles.

4.1 Optical read-out

4.1.1 Optical properties of CVD diamond

First we will focus our attention on the spectral ranges where diamond is transparent; then we will look at the effects of its high refractive index.

Natural diamond was classified based on its optical absorption properties by Clark et al. in 1956 [95]. Roughly speaking, type I diamond contains a considerable amount of nitrogen (N), giving the material a yellowish color, while type II does not. Sub-type II-a is very pure, transparent diamond. All diamond, even type II-a, absorbs in the IR due to phonon processes [96]. One allowed (two-phonon) process is the destruction of one phonon of 335 cm\(^{-1}\) and the creation of a second one of 1275 cm\(^{-1}\). Another allowed (three-phonon) process is the destruction of one and the creation of two phonons. They give rise to the absorption of diamond in the IR range between 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) (= 2.5 µm to 6.7 µm). The temperature dependence of this absorption has been studied in detail by Davies et al. [96]. For thin diamond windows and for low temperatures, the absorption is still reasonably low at the 10.6 µm (934 cm\(^{-1}\)) CO\(_2\)-laser line [96]. IR photon absorption with the creation of only one phonon is forbidden by the inversion symmetry of the perfect diamond structure. Sub-type IIb is blue diamond containing boron, and this can exhibit additional absorption in the IR due to a one-phonon process [97].

All diamond also absorbs in the UV, which can be applied in diamond-based UV detectors [98]. Absorptions in this spectral region reflect the electronic structure of the diamond bulk. In diamond, all four valence electrons of carbon are involved in creating bonds, whereas e.g. in graphite only three are required. This causes pure diamond to be an electrical insulator, whereas graphite is conductive (in the plane of the sp\(^2\)-bonded sheets). The band gap of pure diamond at 5.47 eV (227 nm) is indirect, so apart from absorption of a UV photon, a phonon is required (Fig. 12a, [99]). Therefore, in UV-spectra the direct band gap at 7.3 eV is more pronounced: Fig. 12b shows such a spectrum of (100) single crystalline CVD diamond, obtained at the synchrotron facilities of BESSY II, Berlin (Germany).

The optical properties of CVD diamond depend on doping and other parameters. Both n- and p-type doping of CVD diamond have been mastered, by introducing low concentrations of either P (group V element with 5 VB electrons, acting as an electron donor, producing a level around 0.6 eV below the CB in bulk diamond) [100–102] or B (group III element with 3 VB electrons, acting as a substitutional acceptor, producing a level around 0.37 eV above the VB in bulk diamond) [103–105], respectively. Apart from blue (due to B-doping) and yellow (N-in-
corporation), more than hundred impurity-related defect centers in the diamond crystal lattice have been identified as F(arbe) (color) centers [97]. Most vacancy (V) defects are caused by another defect, like incorporation of an N atom: the N–V defect is a very well-known color center, which fluoresces in the red with very good photo-stability. In case of vacancy defects, the color can be influenced by putting the diamond in a HPHT environment, thus removing the lattice defects. In contrast, irradiation with highly energetic particles (fast neutrons, deuterons, γ-rays or 1–2 MeV electrons) can be used to add defects, vacancies and interstitial atoms. Due to annealing at high temperature, the vacancies will be trapped at other defects, creating additional F centers [106]. Ion implantation has a similar effect [97]. Also the grain boundaries in polycrystalline diamond will strongly influence the electronic and associated optical properties.

Diamond has a refractive index of 2.417 nm at 589 nm (yellow light) [107]. If we apply the Fresnel reflectivity formulas [108] to the case of a beam of 589 nm (yellow) light emitted in vacuum or air \((n_1 = 1)\) and shining onto a flat diamond surface \((n_2 = 2.417)\), we obtain the graph presented in Fig. 13. From the graph, we see that the reflectivity for p-polarized light, \(R_p\), vanishes when the angle of incidence, \(\theta_i\), equals the Brewster angle (BA) \(\arctan(n_2/n_1)\). In the case of diamond, this angle is 67.5° for 589 nm light. Thus, a non-polarized beam that meets an interface at BA away from the surface normal will produce a purely s-polarized reflected beam. When the illumination comes from the diamond-side, the vanishing angle is the complement of the (external) BA.

For practical techniques that measure reflected light (e.g. IR reflectance spectroscopy), incidence angles higher than the BA are required, to have sufficient reflectivity of the p-polarized component (Fig. 13), but applying grazing angles of ~80° is quite difficult to work with in practice. Alternatively, Remes et al. report interference-free reflectance-absorbance spectroscopy under BA configuration (BA-RAS) using p-polarized IR light to study thin UA, DNA and organosilane polymer layers on NCD [109]. While the high refractive index of diamond makes it difficult to use techniques based on external reflection, the opposite is true for techniques based on internal reflection. This can be seen from the critical angle, \(\theta_c = \arcsin(n_1/n_2)\), which gives the condition for total internal reflection [110]. In case of a diamond surface in air and 581 nm light, \(\theta_c\) has a low value of 24.4°. This is used in the cut of diamond gemstones, to maximize their brilliance at the top facet [110], and can be applied in attenuated total reflection (ATR) spectroscopy: under \(\theta_i > \theta_c\) conditions, a standing electromagnetic wave exists at the crystal sample interface, which is exponentially vanishing and therefore called an evanescent wave [111]. Another example is defocused

![Figure 12](image1.png)

**Figure 12** a) Calculated band energy diagram for the diamond bulk with indication of the direct and indirect band gap. (Adapted from [99].) b) Experimental vacuum UV spectra of (100) single crystalline CVD diamond showing the direct band gap above 7 eV.
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**Figure 13** Calculated reflectivity of s- and p-polarized 589 nm light upon reflection on a diamond surface in vacuum or air. The inset figure shows the corresponding optical model.
single molecule fluorescence imaging, used to investigate molecular orientation: this technique is usually applied on glass [112], but would in principle benefit from using diamond [52]. Techniques based on evanescent waves are typically very surface sensitive, implying that diamond is an interesting material for such optical sensing techniques.

For applications of diamond in optical biosensors, we sum up that the material is highly transparent in a wide range around the visible spectrum: from 227 nm to 2.5 µm, as well as above 6.7 µm. This transparency is combined with a high refractive index. So diamond is an ideal material for optical probing from the backside, or with BA-RAS using p-polarized light.

4.1.2 Fluorescence-based DNA sensing on diamond

Before the results of fluorimetric DNA sensing experiments are discussed, some remarks are to be made regarding the imaging of fluorescently labeled DNA layers on diamond by confocal microscopy. Imaging the DNA layers in buffer solutions was found to be a crucial improvement [59]: due to better refractive index matching, fluorescence emission towards the detection side is increased and hindering reflection is reduced. Of course, prevention of drying the surface is also beneficial for the functionality of the DNA layer. In case of doubt, fluorescence can be readily distinguished from residual reflection light by photo-bleaching of a small region on the surface. When using 488 nm excitation (Ar-ion laser line), it is noted that Alexa Fluor 488 is a much brighter and stable label for this application than FITC.

In [59], probe ss-DNA of 36 b was attached to NCD and UNCD according to the method in Fig. 7b. Hybridization was evaluated using Alexa Fluor 488-labeled target ss-DNA of 29 b, which was either perfectly complementary to, or contained a 1-mismatch with the probe layer (Fig. 14).

The effect of different hybridization conditions and stringency washings was investigated. The amount of hybridization was then monitored by fluorescence under the confocal fluorescence microscope. The best contrast in hybridization with complementary or 1-mismatch target ss-DNA was reproducibly obtained for hybridization of 600 pmol/cm² target ss-DNA at 80 °C in Microhyb hybridization buffer and two stringency washings in 0.2 × SSC at 75 °C and at RT, respectively. Fluorescence images taken after the optimal hybridization contrast conditions can be seen in Fig. 15. Thus, end-point SNP-sensitivity of a CVD diamond-based DNA sensor has been demonstrated using optical read-out.

4.2 Electronic read-out

4.2.1 Electronic properties of CVD diamond

As discussed in Section 4.1.1, pure diamond is a good insulator, but p- and n-type semiconductivity can be achieved via doping during the CVD process. Semiconducting and insulating diamond films can both play an important role in electronic biosensors. Also H-terminated diamond surfaces are very interesting for application in electronic biosensors.

One type of electronic biosensors employs the field-effect in semiconducting substrates, such as doped Si [113–115] and doped diamond [116]. The results of these FET-like devices depend sensitively on the details of the experimental setup, as reviewed by Poghossian et al. [117]. Several specific factors have been identified that jeopardize a reproducible and sensitive field-effect-based DNA sensor [118, 119]: the drift of the measured signal, the variable distance of the DNA molecules from the surface due to the attachment via long linker molecules, and the effect of counter-ions screening the DNA charge-effect. The latter problem can be avoided by measuring in a low-ionic buffer [119, 120]. However, hybridization requires cations in the buffer so that only end-point measurements can be performed using low-ionic buffers. A solution is proposed by Vermeeren et al. [94] (see Section 4.2.2).

In electrolyte–diamond–insulator–semiconductor (EDIS) biosensors [121], typically a thin layer of undoped CVD diamond is deposited on SiO₂-covered p-type Si. In this
case, the diamond serves as the biocompatible interface, while the field effects probably arise in the underlying Si. EDIS sensors can be operated in ‘ConCap’ mode, keeping the capacitance of the sensor constant using a feedback-circuit, while measuring the voltage change [122]. With this configuration, a pH-sensor [123] and a capacitive penicillin biosensor with high sensitivity (70 mV/decade) and low detection limit (5 μM) [124] have been realized. In principle, sandwich-structures of undoped diamond deposited on top of doped diamond would have even better properties: the field effect would arise in the underlying semiconducting diamond (instead of Si).

Whereas these sandwich-structured field effect biosensors use bulk properties of diamond (semiconducting or insulating), we now turn to the unique electronic properties of the diamond surface, allowing simple, planar FET-structures (similar to those on Si, see e.g. [120]). The H-terminated diamond surface exhibits negative electron affinity (NEA). It comes about due to the higher electron affinity of carbon as compared to hydrogen, giving rise to C\textsuperscript{\delta–H}\textsuperscript{\textmu} dipoles at the surface of H-terminated diamond [125]. This causes upward band bending in a thin, near-surface layer inside the diamond (Fig. 16). Since oxygen has a higher electron affinity than carbon, the surface dipoles on oxidized diamond are oriented opposite as compared to H-termination: C\textsuperscript{\textmu–O}\textsuperscript{\delta}. The CB minimum is below the vacuum level, corresponding to positive electron affinity (PEA). The surface of H-terminated diamond can become conductive: it is agreed upon that apart from NEA, the adsorption of a thin layer of atmospheric water is essential for this effect [126]. Following the ‘transfer doping model’ of Maier et al. [126], holes are injected by acceptors located at the surface (instead of inside another host lattice, which is the common transfer doping process). The importance of the planar, p-type conductivity of H-terminated diamond lies in the fact that this surfaces can be used for pH-sensing, as reported by Kawarada et al. [127], Garrido et al. [128], and Nebel et al. [131]. In a recent paper, Dankerl et al. point out that this type of pH-sensors should not be described by transfer doping, and special care has to be taken regarding the choice of the counter electrode material [129]: in that case a weak pH-sensitivity of ∼+5 mV/pH is observed. Apart from pure pH-sensing, H-terminated diamond surfaces can be employed for electronic biosensing. Thus, FET-like devices do not necessarily require bulk semiconductivity by doping, but can be based on the planar p-type semiconductivity of hydrogenated diamond surfaces: the conductivity of the surface depends on the presence of charged molecules (DNA) near the surface.

Most electrode surfaces in aqueous solutions are vulnerable to hydrolysis if a certain bias voltage is crossed: the voltages lower than this threshold are called the ‘potential window’ of the electrode. B-doped diamond shows a wide potential window together with a low background current [130]. This also depends on the surface termination: F-terminated diamond is found to have a larger potential window than H-terminated surfaces. It should be noted that these values apply to single crystalline diamond, whereas in biosensor applications typically (U)NCD is used, which does not have these ideal properties [131].

In summary, electronic read-out of diamond-based biosensors is an excellent option, because of the favorable and tunable electronic properties of CVD diamond, combined with its good stability in electrolytes. DNA is a polyelectrolyte and can be detected by field effect devices (based on the bulk semiconductivity of doped diamond, or the surface conductivity of H-terminated diamond). Yet, uncharged biomolecules may be detected as well: EDIS sensors are highly sensitive for capacitive effects.

### 4.2.2 Impedance-based DNA sensing on diamond

Impedance spectroscopy in principle allows for real-time and label-free testing. The electronic read-out of DNA hybridization and denaturation on B-doped CVD diamond surfaces is reported by Yang et al. [116]: cyclic voltammetry (in a redox solution) and impedance spectroscopy (in standard hybridization buffer) are applied to study the probe ss-DNA layers (31 b), prepared according to Fig. 7a, before and after hybridization with perfectly complementary and 4b-mismatched target ssDNA (both 16 b). The impedance at high (>10 kHz) and very low (<1 Hz) frequencies is found to decrease in both cases, but more pronounced for the perfect match. Upon denaturation, the original spectrum is observed again. The impedance change upon hybridization is attributed to the field effect of the additional negative charges (of the backbones of the target DNA) influencing the band structure of the underlying p-type semiconducting diamond. An equivalent circuit model for the diamond/interface/solution combination is proposed, consisting of a series of respectively: a resistor in parallel with a constant-phase element, a resistor in parallel with a capacitance, and a resistor.

We have also reported the use of impedance spectroscopy for DNA sensing on CVD diamond [94]: in this case the probe ss-DNA (36 b) layers were prepared with the immobilization method of Fig. 7b. The spectra are fitted.
to the previously mentioned equivalent circuit model proposed by [116]. In this paper the difference between hybridization and 1-mismatch (Fig. 14) are compared: the cases can be discriminated, thus SNP-sensitivity is demonstrated. Moreover, this type of detection is fast: the perfect complement case can be distinguished from the 1-mismatch case within five minutes (Fig. 17). Also the kinetics of DNA denaturation is investigated, by monitoring the impedance at high frequencies (~1 MHz). In this range, the impedance is mainly determined by the solution resistance. Upon denaturation, DNA and its associated counter-ions are released from the surface into the solution, thereby increasing the amount of mobile charges. The change of the solution resistance is found to decrease exponentially, and the exponential decay time is longest in case of perfect complementary strands. It is argued that this possibility to observe denaturation dynamically can be applied to identify new DNA mutations; it is possible that even the type of defect can be inferred from the detailed analysis of the temporal behavior.

4.3 Acoustic sensors As illustrated in the review of Mortet et al. [132], CVD diamond can be used for acoustic devices with excellent properties. Diamond does not show piezoelectricity, but diamond combined with a suitable piezoelectric material, such as ZnO or AlN, is a good choice for high frequency applications, because – due to the high density and stiffness of diamond – acoustic waves travel through the material with a very high group velocity. Examples are wireless devices in which surface acoustic wave (SAW) GHz filters are used based on CVD diamond. Regarding sensing applications, acoustic sensors can detect variations of mechanical stress, temperature, damping and mass-loading. Applied to DNA sensing, they could detect hybridization and denaturation by sensing the respective increase and decrease of mass on the surface. One approach is based on the idea of the quartz-crystal microbalance (QCM); a diamond-based microbalance was recently realized by Williams et al. [133], using langasite (LaGaSiO$_4$) as the piezoelectric material, sandwiched between gold electrodes and an NCD top-layer.

Also other acoustic devices may be adapted for DNA sensing, such as micro-cantilevers and flexural plate wave sensors using diamond-membranes [132]. In a recent study by Tsotros et al., acoustic quartz sensors have been used to investigate the conformation of dsDNA molecules [134]. Although this type of read-out would in principle work even better with diamond, no actual results of diamond-based prototype devices have been reported in literature yet.

5 Conclusions We argue that CVD diamond forms an excellent transducer material for DNA sensors. This platform material is non-corrosive – even in solution – and biocompatible. Diamond can be functionalized using various strategies, and allows for optical, electronic and acoustic read-out.

Coupling biomolecules to diamond utilizing the strong carbon-carbon bond, gives us the opportunity of obtaining a highly stable biosensor. Moreover, the covalent attachment of probe ss-DNA to diamond can be achieved by a straightforward protocol. A particularly fruitful approach is the covalent coupling of organic linkers with tunable length. Using the functional group at the distal end of these linkers, DNA (and various other biomolecules) can be immobilized on the diamond surface. Sensor surfaces prepared in this way have been demonstrated to show SNP-sensitivity and good reusability.

For optical sensing, diamond has the advantage of being transparent over a broad spectral range, while its high refractive index favors techniques based on internal reflection. For electronic sensing, diamond offers different options. Undoped diamond can be used in the EDIS set-up to measure capacitive effects; FET-sensors require either p- or n-type bulk diamond, which can be produced by applying dopants during the CVD process, or p-type surface conductivity of H-terminated diamond. DNA sensors are to be used in vitro only, thus they only require a biocompatible top-layer, to which the probe DNA is anchored and where interaction with target DNA samples takes place. Therefore, CVD diamond-coated Si wafers in particular are a good platform material, since they are easier to produce and cheaper than an all-diamond biosensor.

The next stage in the development of diamond-based DNA sensors requires miniaturization, to lower the amount of sample fluids required and to allow for parallel measurements, an important advantage of standard genetic tests based on micro-arrays. Arraying of the sensor surface, which is a prerequisite for high-throughput biosensors, can be conveniently arranged by photo-patterning of the linker layer. If this next hurdle is taken, the advantages that CVD diamond has to offer in the field of biosensors – i.e. real-time and label-free measurements – can be benefitted from in practical applications.
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