Dear Annum Khaliq,

I am pleased to inform you that the manuscript of your paper "A discrete choice approach to define individual parking choice behaviour for the PARKAGENT model" has been accepted for oral presentation at the conference.

However, the reviewers state that, in order for your paper to be considered for publication, you need to address the following points:

Section 2
- The models in section 2 are specified without a calibration and validation steps.
- All the specification and parameter’s values adopted have to be justified.

Section 3
- In equ. 5 epsilon is not specified. Equ. 3 is not justified in relation to equ. 5.
- In equ. 3 define ‘i+1’.
- In equ. 4 f is not defined. Vs is not used in the model.
- Some specification or reference relative to the route choice could be inserted.

Section 4
- The state of the art relative to the attributes and the specification is interesting. The model can not be evaluated in term of formal and informal test without the calibration and validation steps. These steps could be required.
- The link between models 2 and 3/8 is required.

Please revise your paper in accordance with these instructions and send it to me by 2nd June 2017.

Please note that you or one of your co-authors will be expected to attend the conference and present the paper.

Kind regards,

Stephanie