ABSTRACT
Multidetector CT angiography (CTA) has become a widely accepted examination for non-invasive evaluation of the heart and coronary arteries. Despite its ongoing success and worldwide clinical implementation, it remains an often-challenging procedure in which image quality, and hence diagnostic value, is determined by both technical and patient-related factors. Thorough knowledge of these factors is important to obtain high-quality examinations. In this review, we discuss several key elements that may adversely affect coronary CTA image quality as well as potential measures that can be taken to mitigate their impact. In addition, several recent vendor-specific advances and future directions to improve image quality are discussed.

INTRODUCTION
The high negative-predictive value of coronary CT angiography (CTA) makes it a suitable tool for excluding significant coronary artery disease.1 Coronary CTA is technically complex and places a greater emphasis on scanning technologies than any other type of CT examination. Indeed, coronary arteries both have small calibre and varying degrees of motion during the cardiac cycle.2 Image quality can be degraded by many patient- and technique-related factors. Image artefacts are causes for misinterpretation, making the diagnostic accuracy of coronary CTA to a great extent dependent on their recognition and operator-awareness.2,3 Potential problems related to these artefacts include insufficient tissue contrast, limited spatial and temporal resolution and inadequate volume coverage. The aim of this review was to discuss these technical issues, important recent vendor-specific solutions as well as future directions.

IMAGE QUALITY IN CORONARY CT ANGIOGRAPHY
The basic principle of coronary CTA is to acquire a motion-free volumetric data set through the heart during peak coronary artery enhancement. The final image quality and associated radiation exposure are determined by both technical and patient-related factors. Image quality is therefore a complex entity for which there is no single objective scale. It has been recently established that coronary artery size is an important parameter in determining image quality.4 While spatial resolution, as discussed in the next paragraph, is the only objective method to evaluate image detail, other factors have been discussed in the literature. In practice, the final end point of all factors influencing coronary CTA image quality is their impact on image interpretation, which assumes that all of the following qualitative or quantitative variable scaling should be within an “acceptable” range: noise, vascular enhancement and coronary motion.

Image noise is one of the principal determinants of image quality, and it mainly depends on the number of X-ray photons reaching the detector. Image noise is influenced by technical and patient-related parameters (e.g. weight and anatomy), regardless of the filters used during the image reconstruction process to achieve the desired amount of...
image sharpness vs noise. Image noise can be measured quantitatively by placing a region of interest (ROI) in contrast-enhanced structures (e.g. left ventricle cavity or thoracic aorta). The then obtained standard deviation of the Hounsfield unit values within the ROI area is a measure of image noise. Background noise can also be considered as the standard deviation of an ROI within the air (e.g. trachea or main bronchus). Although no standard cut-off values of image noise have been reported, some authors suggested values of ≤30 HU for improved coronary CTA image quality.6-8

Besides image noise, image interpretability is further influenced by the degree of vascular enhancement. Previous reports have suggested that a vascular attenuation value of ≥400 HU in the aorta is required for coronary CTA image interpretability.6

While vascular enhancement and image noise both are defining parameters in determining image quality, in practical terms it is more useful to use the signal-to-noise ratio. Signal-to-noise ratio is a generic term to indicate how much signal vs how much noise a particular image has. Similarly, contrast-to-noise ratio is determined by the differences in CT density values between different materials vs the background noise.

When image noise and vascular enhancement are adequate, the remaining interpretability factors are motion related. These are subjective by nature, evaluated visually as apparent blurring of the coronary artery contours and luminal visualization using Likert scales.4,11,12

### FACTORS AFFECTING IMAGE QUALITY

Technical parameters mainly include temporal resolution, spatial resolution, contrast resolution and radiation dose. It is further essential to synchronize image acquisition with cardiac motion by simultaneous electrocardiogram (ECG) recording. These technical factors are closely linked to each other in terms of balancing image quality vs radiation exposure.2,3,13

Temporal resolution is the minimal time necessary to compile all X-ray data that are required to calculate or reconstruct one cross-sectional CT data set. A high temporal resolution is required in coronary CTA to ensure motion-free image quality of the fast-moving coronary arteries. The most relevant parameter with a proportional impact on temporal resolution is the rotation speed of the gantry tube.

Spatial resolution defines the ability of a CT scanner to discern high-contrast anatomic details and is often specified in terms of

### Table 1. Main coronary CT angiography artefacts causes and solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artefacts</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blurring</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>−HR &gt; acquisition speed&lt;br&gt;−Respiration during acquisition&lt;br&gt;−Inappropriate cardiac cycle phase reconstruction</td>
<td>−HR control (β- or calcium channel blockade, ivabradine)&lt;br&gt;−Breath-hold instructions&lt;br&gt;−Optimal cardiac cycle phase reconstruction&lt;br&gt;−Use multisegement acquisition/reconstruction&lt;br&gt;−Intelligent boundary detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stairstep or banding</td>
<td>−Motion&lt;br&gt;−Cardiac cycle phase misregistration</td>
<td>−HR variation (tachycardia/arrhythmia)&lt;br&gt;−ECG signal failure&lt;br&gt;−Respiration during acquisition</td>
<td>−HR control (β- or calcium channel blockade, ivabradine)&lt;br&gt;−Optimal cardiac cycle phase reconstruction&lt;br&gt;−ECG editing&lt;br&gt;−Pre-scan ECG quality check&lt;br&gt;−Breath-hold instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streak</td>
<td>Dark bands through objects adjacent to high-attenuation structures (beam-hardening effect)</td>
<td>−Metallic implants, surgical clips and coronary stents&lt;br&gt;−Vessel filled with high iodine concentration</td>
<td>−Avoid undiluted contrast (use saline bolus flush)&lt;br&gt;−Use a high-kilovoltage monoenergetic X-ray beam (dual-energy CT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blooming</td>
<td>High-attenuation objects appear larger than they are</td>
<td>−Coronary calcifications&lt;br&gt;−Metallic implants, clips and coronary stents</td>
<td>−Use high spatial resolution reconstruction algorithms + iterative reconstruction (to decrease the noise)&lt;br&gt;−Use the smallest available focal spot&lt;br&gt;−Use a high kilovoltage monoenergetic X-ray beam (dual-energy CT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windmill</td>
<td>Highly attenuating structures are surrounded by low-attenuating rims, and low attenuating structures appear larger and have a “fan-like” appearance</td>
<td>−Moving structures during acquisition&lt;br&gt;−HR &gt; temporal resolution &gt; spiral acquisition pitch</td>
<td>−Prefer sequential acquisition&lt;br&gt;−HR control (β- or calcium channel blockade, ivabradine)&lt;br&gt;−Optimize spiral scanning pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low attenuating</td>
<td>Air bubbles</td>
<td>−Air within the contrast material bolus&lt;br&gt;−Surgery</td>
<td>Check i.v. line before contrast injection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECG, electrocardiogram; HR, heart rate.
line pairs per centimetre obtained from phantom scans. It must be considered both in the scan x–y plane (in-plane) as well as in the z direction (through-plane). Technically, the full width half maximum (FWHM) of the point spread function expresses the performance of CT scanners with regard to spatial resolution. The FWHM defines whether two adjacent structures will be represented separately in the images; two structures separated by at least one FWHM can in general be distinguished from each other, whereas two structures separated by <1 FWHM are bound to merge together in the reconstructed image. As such, the spatial resolution depends on the detector properties, but also on the reconstruction filter used, the object contrast and image noise. Since this information is not available on images, the voxel size is often used as an alternative surrogate marker.

Voxel size depends on pixel size within the axial image and through-plane resolution. It is determined by the matrix (e.g. 512 × 512) and the field of view, whereas through-plane resolution depends on the detector aperture width and focal spot size. According to the Nyquist frequency equation, the minimal coronary diameter evaluable without sampling error should be at least the double of the voxel size. An intrinsic spatial resolution (expressed as FWHM) of about 0.5–0.7 mm, a voxel size of about 0.5 × 0.5 × 0.5 mm or smaller are, in general, adequate to image most of the coronary arteries.

Fast coverage of the entire heart using the shortest acquisition time helps to avoid breathing-related artefacts, which can be achieved by increasing detector size and therefore anatomic coverage per rotation. In current multidetector CT scanners, detector arrays (N × T) range from about 40 mm to 160 mm, where T is the individual slice thickness and N is the number of simultaneously acquired slices. When the whole heart is within the anatomic detector coverage per rotation, no table movement is necessary. Otherwise, a varying amount of table movements and number of heart cycles is necessary to ensure complete cardiac coverage. The associated pitch is defined as the ratio of table feed per rotation to the detector coverage: p = table feed/(N × T), with typical values in CCTA ranging from 0.2 to 0.4 with single X-ray tube scanners, and up to 3 with dual-source CT (DSCT).

The often used term “contrast resolution” refers to the smallest difference in material density that can be detected between adjacent objects; it is often described as percentage (%) density difference at a defined exposure level.

Radiation exposure can be quantitatively assessed by standard technical dose descriptors: the volume CT dose index (in milligram) and dose–length product (in milligram centimetre). The estimated effective dose (E; in millisievert) represents the biological risk by the whole-body dose to a reference human. It is preferably estimated by using a detailed computational organ dose model that accounts for selected techniques, anatomical location of the scan and patient size. For practical reasons, a rough estimate can also be provided by using a general dose–length product to E conversion coefficient, the so-called k-factors that are determined by the anatomical location. The reported use of conversion factors that are established for conventional chest CT $k = 0.014–0.017 \text{ mSv mGy}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ tend to severely underestimate the E in coronary CTA, where a value of $k = 0.026 \text{ mSv mGy}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is more appropriate. The estimation of E remains problematic for partial-body exposure, with an inherent relative uncertainty of approximately ±40%. Therefore, the exact impact of dose-reducing strategies should be evaluated by using technical dose descriptors. Nevertheless, E remains valuable to compare dose between anatomic regions and with other imaging modalities. Radiation dose is closely related to image quality, and both are influenced by virtually all patient-specific and CT acquisition parameters, including tube current (millampere), energy (kilovoltage), gantry rotation speed, scanning length and table speed.

**IMAGE QUALITY FAILURE AND ARTEFACTS**

Image artefacts usually result from the failure of previously mentioned determinants of image quality. Clinically relevant artefacts on CCTA and their potential solutions are summarized in Table 1. The most common artefacts are related to cardiac, pulmonary or bulk body motion, resulting in coronary artery blurring (Figure 1) and stairstep artefacts (Figure 2). Their presence depends on the scanner temporal resolution, but also on the presence of extracardiac movement such as respiratory or voluntary motion.

![Figure 1](image-url)
Blurring occurs when the temporal resolution is insufficient to accurately register the moving targeted structure [e.g. when data sampling exceeds the diastolic rest period, either due to a high heart rate (HR), or due to the selection of an inappropriate reconstruction window]. The right coronary artery is most commonly affected owing to its higher velocity and range of motion compared with other coronary segments.2

Banding or stairstep artefacts are section gaps in imaging data due to cardiac phase misregistrations between consecutive gantry rotations. The most frequent causes are arrhythmia or HR variation during acquisition.

Beam hardening is caused by an increase in mean photon energy of the X-ray beam when it passes through a cross-section with heterogeneous density. The lower energy photons of the polychromatic beam are primarily absorbed, resulting in a higher mean energy of the beam when it reaches the detector. It results in dark bands throughout the image, the so-called streak artefacts. In practice, these artefacts are typically generated by highly attenuating structures or interfaces such as high iodine concentration (e.g. in the superior vena cava), surgical clips and metallic implants (pacemaker, stent struts).

In blooming artefacts, high-attenuation objects appear larger than they are. This results from multiple factors, including inadequate photon energy and partial volume effect; the latter is caused by an insufficient temporal and spatial resolution particularly in the z direction.13 Coronary stents, and to some extent vascular calcifications, are of special importance for attenuation-related effects, as they generate both streak and blooming artefacts, causing inappropriate vessel lumen visibility or in-stent visualization due to motion, partial volume effect and beam hardening (Figure 3).

Blowing artefact magnitude and stent lumen visibility largely depend on stent size, strut thickness, material and mesh design.18 Magnesium stents are far more favourable for coronary CTA than tantalum-coated stents with up to 90% in-stent visibility, depending on stent size.19

Helical artefacts with their typical windmill-like appearance are seen in moving objects during spiral acquisition, or in cases with ECG synchronization failure. The table movement during the acquisition results in projections from slightly different parts of the object. In coronary artery segments that are obliquely oriented along the z-axis, this spiral...
interpolation process results in hypodense areas surrounding the vessels (Figure 4).

Finally, image artefacts can also theoretically be caused by low-attenuating objects such as air bubbles introduced in the right heart or pulmonary artery during contrast material administration or in the mediastinum after surgery. In practice, the hypodense zones surrounding these air bubbles do not alter coronary visibility.

**IMAGE QUALITY IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES**

All the major CT scanner vendors have devised their own proprietary solutions for approaching the key artefacts and technical limitations discussed above (Figure 5 and Table 2).

Figure 4. Coronal (a) and axial (b) reformats of coronary CT angiography shows low-density artefacts (arrows) around the contrast-filled right coronary artery, due to inadequate spiral pitch with regard to heart rate.

Figure 5. Major changes in coronary CT angiography technology over the first decade of the 21st century: while the number of detectors and the coverage have been increased more than 10-fold, the gantry rotation time has been decreased by more than a half.
Besides the discussed technical parameters, a successful coronary CTA also depends on proper patient selection and preparation (Figure 6). Furthermore, as with every CTA examination, a strong and homogeneous arterial enhancement of the coronary arteries is required. Important parameters to consider here include contrast agent volume, iodine concentration, injection speed and bolus duration, which should be adjusted to the body habitus of the patient [e.g. body mass index (BMI)].

In coronary CTA, partial reconstruction algorithms allow improved image quality, especially for smaller branches. A more extensive discussion falls outside the scope of this review.

### Patient selection and preparation

Patients with heavily calcified coronary arteries are subject to a higher rate of false-positive examinations, owing mainly to streak and blooming artefacts generated by these high-density structures. Even with 64-slice and newer CT systems, the streak and blooming artefacts generated by these high-density calcium-related artefacts, patients should be screened for compliance to breath-hold and HR stability. Depending on the temporal resolution of the scanner, patients with irregular HR or HR above a certain limit (typically 65 beats per minute) are pre-medicated with beta-blockers in some facilities, both to improve image quality and to reduce radiation exposure. However, the efficacy of beta-blocker administration to consequently achieve the targeted HR remains a subject of discussion. In patients with relative or absolute contraindications to beta-blocker administration, calcium-channel blockers and ivabradine are known alternatives.

Finally, the administration of 0.4-mg sublingual nitroglycerin prior to coronary CTA increases the coronary diameter for improved image quality, especially for smaller branches.

### Hardware solutions

Significant advances have taken place during the past decade for the main scanner hardware component, the gantry, containing the tube(s) and detectors. All vendors have placed a critical emphasis on the improvement of the gantry rotation speed and (varying across vendors) the increase of number of detectors, as such improving the data acquisition. Scan duration should be as short as possible to limit motion artefacts of the fast-moving coronary arteries.

In coronary CTA, partial reconstruction algorithms allow image reconstruction from data acquired within a half gantry rotation, the so-called half-scan. This may be confusing, since the X-ray fan beam angle of the CT detectors (approximately 50°) plus a transition angle for smooth data weighting should be added to the 180° rotation, resulting in a minimal rotation of approximately 260° for image reconstruction. The influence of the fan angle increases if the positioning of the heart deviates from the centre of the scan field, resulting in lower temporal resolution. The maximal tube rotation speed of the gantry can be as short as possible to limit motion artefacts of the fast-moving coronary arteries.

### Table 2. Top four vendor recent technological advances in coronary CT angiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>General electric</th>
<th>Philips</th>
<th>Siemens</th>
<th>Toshiba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arrhythmia</strong></td>
<td>−Adaptive gating</td>
<td>−Autoarrhythmia detection</td>
<td>−Adaptive cardiac sequence</td>
<td>−Arrhythmia detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gantry rotation speed (ms)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum z-axis coverage/rotation (sequential) (cm)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of X-ray tubes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current detector technology</td>
<td>Gemstone clarity</td>
<td>NanoPanel prism (IQon)</td>
<td>Stellar industry</td>
<td>PURE Vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid noise reduction software acronym</td>
<td>ASIR</td>
<td>iDose4</td>
<td>IRIS</td>
<td>AIDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full noise reduction software acronym</td>
<td>MBIR or Veo</td>
<td>IMR</td>
<td>SAFIRE</td>
<td>AIDR 3D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vendor**

ADMIRE, Advanced Modeled Iterative reconstruction; AIDR, Adaptive Iterative Dose Reduction; AIDR 3D, Adaptive Iterative Dose Reduction 3D; ASIR, Adaptive Statistical Iterative Reconstruction; ECG, electrocardiogram; FIRST, Forward Projected Model-based Iterative Reconstruction Solution; iDose4, i-dose iterative reconstruction; IMR, Iterative Model-Based reconstruction; IRIS, Iterative Reconstructions in Image Space; MBIR, Model-Based Iterative reconstruction; SAFIRE, Sinogram Affirmed Iterative Reconstruction; 3D, three-dimensional.
corresponding detector rows within a single gantry, resulting in a twofold increased temporal resolution in comparison with single-source scanners.

Scanner tubes have benefited from flying focal spot technologies, along with improved power and cooling capacities. Emphasis on detector properties has led to increased sensitivity to photons, along with reduced collimation and reduced refractory period between detected pulses. Since spatial resolution of multi-detector CT is influenced by collimation and focal spot size, it has especially improved since the introduction of submillimetre (0.5–0.625 mm) detector size elements. Recent detector technologies, along with tube energy rapid switching capacity and dual source in some vendors, have made dual-energy scanning possible, which allows assessment of the X-ray absorption spectra from a broad range of monoenergetic exposure. Regarding image quality, dual-energy acquisitions allow the reconstruction of the anatomy at both a low (virtual) and high energy (kilo-electron volt), which may be helpful to mitigate streak artefacts or assess tissue iodine concentration.

Figure 6. Step-by-step strategies to optimize image quality and radiation dose with coronary CT angiography (CTA): different actions can be implemented to produce sizable effects towards image quality and radiation dose optimization with current coronary CTA technology. The grey boxes highlight the role of expected technological advances. BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HR, heart rate; IR, iterative reconstruction; kV, kilovoltage; mA, milliampere.
Increased detector coverage to 8 cm and 16 cm per gantry rotation using 256- and 320-row detector scanners, respectively, currently enables heart coverage with minimal or even no table movement. Image acquisition time is shortened, requiring a minimal number of heartbeats (ideally one) and a shorter breath-hold, with less susceptibility to the occurrence of arrhythmias or ectopic beats. An alternative approach for obtaining single heart beat coverage is by using fast table movement (high-pitch) spiral acquisition protocols, currently only possible with DSCT systems.

Software solutions
One of the specific challenges of coronary CTA is a correct ECG synchronization of the data acquisition to the HR of the patient. ECG synchronization can be either prospective or retrospective. With retrospective ECG-gated techniques, scanning is performed throughout the entire cardiac cycle. After all data have been obtained, a user-defined reconstruction of the desired segments of the cardiac cycle is performed, corresponding to the optimal phase of the coronary arteries with least coronary motion. Conversely, in prospective ECG triggering, scanning is only performed during a predefined segment of the cardiac cycle, typically at end diastole in lower and stable HRs.

Temporal resolution is further influenced by the choice between monosegment and multisegment reconstruction (Figure 7). The monosegment reconstruction mode is typically applied in HR <65–75 bpm, the upper limit depending on the effective temporal resolution (gantry rotation time) of the available equipment. When used in higher HR, the delivered temporal resolution is insufficient to provide high-quality images. In such instances, multisegment reconstruction is typically used to improve the temporal resolution. In multisegment acquisitions, several partial scans at a given position are acquired, multiplying the temporal resolution by a factor equal to the number of segments.

![Figure 7. Coronary CT angiography in a 41-year-old male with a heart rate of 92 bpm: excellent image quality (arrows in a) of the right coronary artery is obtained on the long-axis curvilinear and orthogonal reformations after multisegment reconstruction of whole-heart data acquired over two cardiac cycles (arrows in b). The reconstruction of singlesegment data (arrow in d) resulted in a lower temporal resolution and caused a poorer image quality (arrows in c).](image-url)
of segments. Contrary to monosegment reconstructions, at least two heartbeats are needed, which can be acquired prospectively or retrospectively. Multisegment data acquisition can be applied in a prospective triggering mode, if the detector array is large enough to cover a substantial part of the cardiac volume per rotation. In retrospective ECG-gated acquisitions, multisegment reconstructions require a smaller pitch for similar HRs than monosegment reconstructions to provide sufficient data redundancy with regard to the actual HR.\(^1,\(^3,\(^3,\(^5\) Disadvantages of multisegment reconstructions include the requirement that all segment imaging should be performed within exactly the same phase of the cardiac cycle, and spatially adjacent segments have to be imaged in the same cardiac phase to build-up smooth half-scan intervals. Unfortunately, variations in consecutive cardiac cycles tend to increasingly lower image quality using multisegment reconstruction as compared with monosegment. Moreover, in retrospective gated acquisitions, there is a complex relationship between the cardiac cycle duration (HR), the rotation speed and multisegment reconstruction.\(^3,\(^6,\(^7\)

Strategies to tackle arrhythmia depend on the type of acquisition: (i) for retrospective spiral acquisitions, arrhythmia-induced artefacts can be countered with retrospective editing of the reconstruction phases based on the continuous ECG registration during the acquisition; (ii) for prospective and high-pitch spiral scans, the acquisition is automatically suspended and delayed to a following heartbeat when HR changes are detected.\(^3,\(^7\)

The in-plane spatial resolution of CT theoretically approximates the 0.1 mm and 0.2 mm spatial resolution of intravascular ultrasound and catheter angiography, respectively. However, in clinical practice, the in-plane spatial resolution is limited to approximately 0.5 mm by the use of smoothing convolution

Figure 8. Long- and short-axis multiplanar reformats of the right coronary artery on a prospective electrocardiogram-triggered acquisition with an average heart rate of 67 bpm without (a and b) and with a temporal resolution improvement algorithm (c and d), allowing minimization of the cardiac motion-related blurring on the right coronary artery and assessment of the stent patency (arrows in a and c).
reconstruction algorithms. Although such a spatial resolution is sufficient for the assessment of significant coronary artery stenosis in vessels of 1.5 mm or more in diameter, it may remain inadequate to assess stent patency and to confidently grade coronary stenosis in severely calcified arteries.39,40

In order to achieve a spatial resolution comparable with catheter angiography, theoretically a 16-fold increase of the radiation dose would be needed, since an increase of spatial resolution proportionally increases image noise in standard filtered back projection (FBP) reconstructions.13 Recently implemented noise reduction reconstruction algorithms, the so-called iterative reconstruction, in combination with dedicated sharp reconstruction algorithms theoretically allow decoupling of spatial resolution and image noise for better image quality and improved diagnostic performance for the evaluation of in-stent lumen (Figure 9) and coronary calcifications by reducing partial volume effects.41,42

RADIATION EXPOSURE SAVING AND TRADE-OFFS

Initially, coronary CTA was typically associated with radiation doses amongst the highest in medical imaging, with reported E ranging up to 16–32 mSv.43 Today, radiation exposure has been drastically reduced by technical advances and the implementation of dose-efficient strategies, while maintaining high-quality images.44 Standard dose reduction strategies are: (i) dynamic tube current modulation by cardiac phase and tissue density, (ii) tube voltage reduction and (iii) dynamic collimation in helical acquisition. One study reported a dose reduction of 68% by shifting from retrospective to prospective ECG modulation in patients with a low and stable HR (mean 56 bpm) and a 53% dose reduction by switching the tube voltage from 120 kV to 100 kV45,46. The limitations of tube voltage reduction are increased image noise levels and tube heating. It should therefore be primarily considered in patients who are not obese (BMI <30 kg m⁻²). Dynamic collimation helps to reduce undesired exposure due to z-axis overbeaming, which is particularly prominent with helical scanning (24% dose saving).47 More recent strategies to reduce dose are the above-discussed high-pitch DSCT acquisition, wide coverage scanners and iterative reconstruction. Compared with standard FBP, hybrid iterative reconstruction refers to an algorithm using mathematical and statistical modelling to reduce image noise while trying to preserve high-resolution images by performing repeated backward “iterative” reconstruction cycles, resulting in a reduction of exposure without increase of noise.42,48 Although all manufacturers now employ at least one hybrid iterative reconstruction algorithm technology, the implementation and performance of these systems can vary significantly.42,49 Further newer systems using full-iterative reconstruction are progressively being implemented. Obviously, the largest dose reductions can be achieved by a combination of previously described strategies.12,34

Despite the fact that nowadays the E in coronary CTA can approach the level of annual background radiation under certain conditions, the female breast tissue dose remains a concern and is reported 10–30 times higher50 compared with the achievable dose of 2.0 mGy in standard mammography for standard breast thickness.51

Besides implementing the earlier described dose-efficient scan modes, further breast dose reduction can be achieved by
selective in-plane bismuth shielding. Although controversy still exists regarding its use owing to the deleterious impact on image noise, studies do report 40–50% breast dose savings. Moreover, a recent study reported a degradation of the image quality and no effect on the amount of DNA damage using breast shielding during coronary CTA in females.

**IMAGE QUALITY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Further improvements in scanner hardware, software and image processing are promising for a better image quality and/or less radiation dose (Figure 6).

Coronary motion will be further reduced by intelligent motion correction algorithms, faster transfer systems and multisource scanning, whose implementation, alone or in combination, is currently limited by the computational demand in image reconstruction power. Conversely, advances in spectral imaging have paved the way towards photon counting, a technology in which the whole photonic spectrum is analyzed. However, it is currently not clinically implemented as the limited count rate, energy-integrating detection, increased detector pixel crosstalk and electronic noise are major limitations of this technology. Eventually, photon counting is expected to improve soft-tissue discrimination, to reduce the radiation dose and to provide higher spatial resolution. Compared with dual energy, photon-counting coronary CTA will provide more detailed information about myocardial and coronary plaque components by analyzing differences in contrast agent concentration and/or spectral attenuation.

In addition, improvement of spatial resolution is expected from ongoing development of detector technology, which could provide a reduction of the slice collimation without significant increase of the radiation exposure. This can currently only be achieved at the cost of increasing noise.

In contrast to hybrid iterative reconstruction algorithms, full-iterative algorithms introduce model-based forward projection reconstruction analyses, resulting in a further decoupling between the image noise and radiation dose, especially in the low-dose ranges (volume CT dose index 2–4 mGy). >50% noise suppression is reported compared with the standard FBP. Disadvantages of full-iterative reconstruction algorithms are the computational demands, resulting in substantially longer image reconstruction times.

**CONCLUSION**

The recent vendor-specific advances have resulted in a dramatic improvement of scanning coverage, spatial, temporal and contrast resolution. Easier acquisition, post-processing and better diagnostic confidence are expected from the ongoing image quality improvement. In parallel, patient safety has been improved by dose reduction strategies and recent achievements indicate that even further dose reductions are to be expected in the near future. There are similarities, but also marked differences between main CT constructor technologies. It is therefore questionable how far a combination of the main strengths from each constructor into one single “perfect” scanner would not represent a huge step. Meanwhile, the current state-of-the art scanners have already shifted the perception of coronary CTA being a harmful technique towards a dose-efficient technique that is associated with only minor radiation exposure, paving the way for newer indications such as coronary and cardiac tissue composition imaging.
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