INTRODUCTION

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic disabling disease of the central nervous system (CNS), characterized by focal areas of inflammation in which myelin and the myelin-producing cells, the oligodendrocytes, are destroyed. While the available anti-inflammatory therapies are effective in reducing the relapse rate, current therapeutics are unable to stop, let alone reverse, the physical and cognitive decline that MS patients inevitably face [1,2]. Increasing evidence indicates demyelination and subsequent neurodegeneration as the major cause of this irreversible neurological disability. These findings emphasize the importance of neuroprotective and restorative strategies that aim to prevent or restore demyelination and neurodegeneration in MS.

Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) is an interesting therapeutic candidate to reduce the detrimental process of neurodegeneration in MS. LIF is expressed by infiltrating immune cells and activated astrocytes in MS lesions [3,4]. Recent data suggest that activation of LIF receptor signalling participates in the endogenous neurobiological response that serves to limit the extent of immune-mediated injury in MS [5]. LIF is a survival factor for neurons not only during development, but also after injury [6]. Interestingly, LIF may also protect myelinating oligodendrocytes and influence ongoing inflammation in MS [7]. However, data on the actions of LIF in neuroinflammatory responses are contradicting. Both pro- and anti-inflammatory effects have been reported, as well as a contribution to and inhibition of demyelination. In vitro studies show that LIF reduces the production of TNF-α and reactive oxygen species by macrophages [8], which may translate into an anti-inflammatory response in vivo. In contrast, LIF administration in the spinal cord has been reported to induce proliferation and activation of macrophages, leading
to hindlimb motor dysfunction [9]. Studies on the effects of LIF in murine models of MS, called experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), have also generated conflicting results. Systemic LIF treatment is described to ameliorate clinical symptoms in both chronic and relapsing-remitting EAE models [10]. The favourable clinical effect of LIF was associated with increased oligodendrocyte survival, while no significant effects on the immune response were detected. In contrast, a recent study revealed that depletion of LIF also reduces clinical symptoms and limits demyelination in EAE [11]. Here, the observed effects were accompanied by reduced numbers of macrophage infiltrates.

In studies using systemic application of LIF protein or in LIF knock-out mice, the pleiotropic activities of LIF outside the CNS complicate assessment of its effects on CNS lesion development. For example, LIF stimulates megakaryocyte and platelet production [12], plays a role in embryonic implantation [13,14], induces expression of acute phase proteins in the liver [15] and enhances production of adrenocorticotropic hormone [16,17]. This study was designed to elucidate the therapeutic potential of CNS-targeted LIF expression during neuroinflammatory conditions. The limited potential of LIF to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [18] not only hampers its therapeutic potential, but also makes it difficult to evaluate the exact role of LIF on CNS lesion development. We hypothesized that a local production of LIF by means of lentiviral vectors (LV) circumvents these problems and allows us to elucidate the role of LIF during neuroinflammation. Our study reveals that CNS-directed LIF expression has improved clinical efficacy compared to systemic treatment in limiting the detrimental effects of immune-mediated demyelination.
RESULTS

Systemic LIF treatment does not significantly ameliorate EAE symptoms

We first examined the therapeutic efficacy of systemic administration of recombinant mouse LIF in EAE. For this purpose, we used an EAE model induced by myelin oligodendrocyte protein (MOG) 35–55 peptide in C57Bl/6J mice. LIF treatment was started on day 13, when mean disease score was > 0.5 (n=10). A dose of 25 μg/kg/day LIF was given intraperitoneally over a 5 day period. Control animals received daily mouse serum albumin injections (n=10). On day 15 post immunization, LIF-treated animals displayed less weight loss than control EAE mice (P<0.05). A trend towards clinical benefit was evident, but did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 1).

Lentiviral vectors mediate overexpression of LIF in the mouse CNS

In order to deliver sufficient amounts of LIF to the site of inflammation, we administered LV encoding LIF (LV-LIF) to the brain ventricular system. Hereto, the cDNA encoding mouse LIF (sequence NM_008501) was cloned into a lentiviral transfer plasmid containing a central polypurine tract sequence, the SIN-18 deletion and the woodchuck hepatitis posttranscriptional regulatory element [19]. After construction of LV plasmids encoding murine LIF, expression of the transgene was verified in cell culture. Western blot analysis of extracts from transduced 293T cells confirmed overexpression of the LIF protein (Fig. 2a). Secretion of LIF into supernatant of
cell cultures was confirmed by means of ELISA. Seventy-two hours after transduction, 1400 pg LIF/ml was detected, while LIF was undetectable in supernatant of mock transduced 293T cells. Next, 6 microliters of highly concentrated vector (p24: 5 e7 pg/ml) were stereotactically injected into the mouse lateral ventricle. Control mice received the same lentiviral vectors, encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP) instead of LIF. Coordinates used for injections into the right lateral ventricle were anteroposterior -0.02 cm, lateral -0.10 and dorsoventral -0.18 using bregma as reference. Injection in the lateral ventricle allows the vector to spread with the flow of CSF through the entire mouse brain ventricular system. LIF expression is not detectable in untransduced healthy CNS. After LIF gene therapy however, immunohistochemical staining revealed LIF expression in ependymal cells lining CSF filled spaces and in choroid plexus cells, while few cells in the adjacent parenchyma were also transduced (Fig. 2b). Previous studies indicate these cells are predominantly neuronal cells, while transduction of glial cells has been reported as well.

Local LIF production significantly ameliorates EAE symptoms

To examine the therapeutic potential of in situ LIF gene therapy during neuroinflammation, we stereotactically injected LV encoding LIF unilaterally into the lateral ventricle of adult C57Bl/6J mice (n=10). Two weeks later, EAE was induced. At this point, mice overexpressing LIF appeared normal and did not show any signs of distress. As a control group, LV-GFP injected animals were used (n=10). Importantly, intraventricular delivery of LV encoding GFP does not induce any changes in the clinical onset or course of EAE (Fig. 3). Administration of LV-LIF in EAE animals on the other hand significantly decreased disease burden (P<0.01), as measured by
the average (Fig. 3a) and cumulative clinical disease scores (Table 1). Amelioration of clinical symptoms was most prominent at the top of disease when control EAE mice suffered from hindlimb paralysis (score 2.7 ± 0.3), whereas mice overexpressing LIF only displayed a reduction in tail tone (score 1.2 ± 0.2) (Fig. 3a, Table 1). Moreover, the beneficial effects of LIF gene therapy persisted during the relapse. Mice within the LV-LIF group displayed a reduction in body weight (Fig. 3b), as has been previously described for central LIF application [20]. This difference in weight disappeared at the onset of the first clinical attack, which was accompanied by a reduction in body weight in control and GFP-expressing EAE mice but not in LIF-treated animals.

Local LIF production does not affect numbers of infiltrating T cells and macrophages

The extent of immune infiltration was analysed in EAE mice that had been treated with either LV-GFP or LV-LIF. Animals were sacrificed on day 41, during recovery from the second relapse. At this stage, mice overexpressing LIF showed significantly less severe clinical symptoms than GFP-expressing mice ($P<0.01$). In this EAE model, clinical symptoms arise from inflammatory demyelinating lesions which are predominantly located in the spinal cord. Therefore, spinal cords of five mice per treatment group were studied for the presence of infiltrating T cells and macrophages/microglia, as detected with CD3 or F4/80 positive staining respectively. Local expression of LIF within the CNS did not significantly alter the number of macrophages/microglia or T cells infiltrating the spinal cord (Fig. 4). To investigate whether LIF exerts its beneficial effects through immunomodulation, rather than inhibition of inflammation, we quantified CNS expression of TNF-alpha, IFN-gamma, iNOS and interleukin-4. No
significant difference in pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine profiles was detected between LIF-treated and control EAE groups. Furthermore, the amount of alternatively activated macrophages, as assessed by CD206 staining, did not differ significantly between the treatment groups (Fig. 5).

**Local LIF expression protects against auto-immune mediated demyelination**

The effect of central LIF expression on immune-mediated demyelination was investigated by immunohistochemistry. Demyelination, revealed by a loss of MBP staining, was analyzed in EAE lesions at day 41 post immunisation. We established that MBP expression was reduced by an average of 29.0 ± 3.1% in the affected regions of untreated EAE mice (Fig. 6). Mice overexpressing GFP displayed a similar extent of demyelination, with an average of 32.9 ± 2.4%. Local production of LIF reduced loss of myelin in CNS lesions to 7.6 ± 1.2%. Immune-mediated demyelination was significantly reduced in EAE mice overexpressing LIF compared to demyelination in control EAE mice or GFP-expressing EAE mice ($P<0.001$).
DISCUSSION

This study was designed to evaluate the therapeutic potential of LIF during CNS inflammation, and to elucidate the effects of local LIF expression on immune-mediated demyelination. We investigated the effects of LIF in an EAE model induced by immunization with MOG peptide in C57Bl/6J mice. Our study demonstrates that LIF has limited therapeutic efficacy after systemic administration, which was also described in other models of neuroinflammation. In experimental autoimmune neuritis, systemic LIF treatment also shows a slight but non-significant improvement in the clinical course [21]. In an EAE model induced by proteolipid protein 139–151 peptide in SJL/J mice [10], systemic LIF delivery mediated a reduction of clinical symptoms, similar to the effect reported here. It is described that increasing the dose to 60 μg/kg/day does not lead to any additional benefit [10]. This limited therapeutic efficacy may be explained by the fact that LIF enters the brain through a saturable transport system [18], making it hard to deliver sufficient amounts of LIF to the site of inflammation after systemic administration. Furthermore, because of the pleiotropic effects of LIF, systemic administration of higher doses may be accompanied by serious systemic side effects [22]. This was demonstrated in phase I clinical trials with recombinant human LIF, also called AM424 or emfilermin (AMRAD Operations, Richmond, Victoria, Australia). LIF was administered subcutaneously on a daily basis with doses ranging from 0.25 to 16 μg/kg body weight. Several patients developed side effects such as autonomic dysfunction, in particular impotence and episodic hypotension. The dose-limiting toxicities were hypotension and rigors. In addition, the half-life of LIF is relatively short, approximately 1–5 h, independent of dose [23]. This short half-life, together with the limited
capacity of LIF to reach the CNS and its pleiotropic actions, complicate therapeutic use of LIF through systemic administration.

We demonstrate that local LIF production in the CNS by means of lentiviral vectors is a successful strategy to circumvent this delivery problem. Lentiviral vectors are highly suitable and optimized for long-lasting expression of transgenes in the CNS [19]. CNS expression of LIF was well tolerated, although a small reduction in body weight (1 g on average) was observed compared to GFP-expressing and control EAE mice. This is in agreement with studies describing that LIF can mediate leptin-like effects in reducing body weight by its central actions on the hypothalamus [20,24]. Our study demonstrates that in contrast to systemic treatment, lentiviral vector-mediated production of LIF in the CNS significantly reduces EAE severity. It has been suggested that systemic treatment of neurotrophic factors should be applicable during MS or EAE because of the BBB disruption at sites of acute demyelination. However, our results demonstrate that a local production significantly enhances the therapeutic potential of LIF even in conditions where the BBB is disrupted. Furthermore, CNS diseases with a chronic nature such as MS, require a continuous supply of therapeutic agents to the brain and therefore benefit from long-lasting strategies such as CNS-targeted gene therapy.

This study demonstrates that CNS-directed LIF expression is more effective than systemic administration, not only to achieve clinical benefit, but also to elucidate the local effects of LIF on CNS lesion development. We found that local expression of LIF has a beneficial effect on CNS lesion development as it significantly reduced the extent of demyelination. This is in line with previous reports describing reduction of demyelination by systemic LIF treatment after spinal cord injury [25] and in LIF KO mice after cuprizone challenge [26]. While these studies
suggest a protective effect of LIF against demyelination, they do not take into account the inflammatory component of MS. Studies in EAE models reflect both the demyelinating and inflammatory aspects of MS, but have generated conflicting results. Administration of neutralizing anti-LIF antibodies in EAE increases the extent of acute demyelination and doubles the oligodendrocyte loss already induced by EAE [5]. In contrast, a more recent study describes reduced autoimmune demyelination when EAE is induced in LIF KO mice [11], suggesting that LIF may play a detrimental role in neuroinflammation. Different findings in these studies may be explained by differences in experimental models used. Compensatory neurokine family members may be expressed in LIF KO mice that could be responsible for the observed effects. Our study demonstrates for the first time that local expression of LIF in the adult CNS significantly limits autoimmune-mediated demyelination.

Furthermore, our data show that local expression of LIF in the CNS does not suppress immune infiltration. However, LIF may modulate the immune response without altering the number of immune cells present at the lesion site. Indeed, we have previously reported that LIF mediates reduction of ROS and TNF-alpha secretion by macrophages[8], which may also provide a mechanism for the beneficial effects of LIF in the CNS. Therefore, LIF may have additional beneficial effects during neuroinflammatory responses by limiting the production of these toxic mediators by macrophages. However, our in vivo findings show that there is no significant difference in proinflammatory cytokine expression upon LIF treatment. Furthermore, immunohistochemical analyses showed no difference in mannose receptor expression, a marker for alternatively activated macrophages. However, these data do not rule out the possibility that an immunomodulatory effect is effective at earlier stages in the disease.
Taken together, our study demonstrates that local expression of LIF limits immune-mediated demyelination, without inhibiting the inflammatory response. The observed beneficial effect of LIF on demyelination may be a direct consequence of LIF-mediated enhanced survival of mature oligodendrocytes (OLG) that has been described in EAE[10]. *In vitro* studies demonstrate that LIF enhances the generation of OLG in cultures of dividing O-2A progenitors and promotes OLG maturation, as determined by expression of MBP[27]. These in vitro effects are in agreement with our in vivo data, demonstrating that LIF gene therapy leads to a preservation of MBP expression during autoimmune demyelination. Furthermore, we have previously shown that LIF protects mature rat OLG cultures selectively against the combined insult of IFN-γ and TNF-α, important inflammatory mediators in MS. While local expression of LIF may directly interfere with inflammatory cytokine signalling at the lesion site, LIF also induces subtle changes in oligodendroglial protein expression that shift the cellular machinery towards a pro-survival execution program. We demonstrated that the downstream mechanism involves activation of the Akt/PI3 kinase pathway and increased expression of 14-3-3 isotypes, known to control cell survival and apoptosis. Taken together, these data suggest that local expression of LIF in CNS lesions limits demyelination and oligodendroglial cell death induced by proinflammatory cytokines.

While current MS therapeutics aim to inhibit the inflammatory response, complementary strategies that protect against demyelination could significantly improve disease outcome. The multifocal pathology of MS requires a widespread treatment covering the entire CNS. Our data demonstrate that lentiviral delivery of LIF in the CSF mediates effective suppression of symptoms in an animal model of MS. Preclinical studies will have to elucidate whether LIF gene therapy is still effective when treatment is started after disease onset, which is a prerequisite for
its applicability in MS patients. In addition, it would be interesting to investigate compatibility of LIF gene therapy with current immunomodulatory MS-treatments such as interferons. Clinical application in the human CNS should permit adjustment and, if necessary, termination of expression in individual patients. In this regard, the introduction of expression cassettes with regulatable promoters that respond to exogenous drug administration may substantially enhance safety. In conclusion, while preclinical studies are necessary to further optimize dosing and timing of LIF gene therapy, as well as the ability to regulate gene expression, our study indicates that CNS delivery of LIF through lentiviral vectors is a promising strategy in the treatment of MS.
MATERIALS & METHODS

Lentiviral vector construction and production

The cDNA encoding mouse LIF (sequence NM_008501) was cloned into a lentiviral transfer plasmid containing a central polypurine tract sequence, the SIN-18 deletion, and the woodchuck hepatitis posttranscriptional regulatory element [19]. HIV-1 derived vector particles were produced by a triple transient transfection of 293T cells. Briefly, cells were transfected with a second-generation packaging plasmid, a plasmid encoding the glycoprotein G of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV-G) and a transfer plasmid encoding the neurokine gene under control of a cytomegalovirus promoter. Transient transfection of 293T cells was carried out in 10-cm dishes. For every plate, a DNA mixture containing 20 µg of transfer plasmid, 10 µg of packaging construct and 5 µg of envelope plasmid in 700 µl of 150 mM NaCl was prepared. A volume of 700 µl polyethyleneimine (PEI) solution (1.42 µM PEI in 150 mM NaCl) was added slowly. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes and then added dropwise to the 293T cells in OPTI-MEM (GIBCO, Invitrogen, Merelbeke, Belgium) without serum. The next morning, medium was replaced with serum free OPTI-MEM. Supernatants were collected and filtered 48 and 72 h post transfection. The vector particles in the supernatant were concentrated using Vivaspin 15 columns (Vivascience, Hannover, Germany), aliquoted and stored at -80°C. p24 antigen content was determined by HIV-1 p24 Core Profile ELISA (DuPont, Dreieich, Germany).

Analysis of in vitro transgene expression
One day prior to transduction, 75,000 293T cells were seeded in each well of a 24-well plate in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing 5% fetal calf serum (FCS). The next day, medium was replaced by DMEM containing 5% FCS and different dilutions (1:1, 1:3, 1:9 and 1:27) of a LV stock solution corresponding to 4.8±2 e7 pg of p24/ml. Seventy-two hours after transduction, supernatants were collected and the cells were lysed with 1% SDS containing protease inhibitors (Complete Mini, Roche Diagnostics, Vilvoorde, Belgium) and boiled for 5 min. Protein content was determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce, Erembodegem, Belgium). Twenty microgram of total protein extract was separated on a 12% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, Watford, UK). Blots were blocked for 2 h in PBS containing 5% non-fat dry milk and 0.5% Tween 20 and then probed overnight with an anti-LIF antibody (1:1000) (R&D systems, Oxon, United Kingdom). After washing with PBS containing 1% Tween 20, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase conjugated to rabbit-anti-goat IgG, followed by detection with Enhanced Chemiluminiscence (ECL Plus, GE healthcare, Upsala, Sweden). LIF concentration in supernatant of transduced 293T cells was measured by means of an ELISA kit (R&D systems Europe, United Kingdom).

Stereotactic surgery

For local LIF administration, LV encoding mLIF were stereotactically injected in the lateral ventricle 2 weeks before EAE induction. Adult C57BL/6J mice were housed with free access to food and water under a 12:12 h dark:light cycle. All surgical procedures were performed under
ketamine (75 mg/kg) and medetomidine (1 mg/kg) anesthesia using aseptic procedures. Mice were placed in a stereotactic head frame (Stoelting, IL, USA) and after midline incision of the skin, a small hole was drilled in the skull. Injections were made using a 30-gauge needle and a 10 µl Hamilton syringe. Coordinates used for injections into the right lateral ventricle were anteroposterior -0.02 cm, lateral -0.10 and dorsoventral -0.18 using bregma as reference. Six microliters of highly concentrated vector (p24: LV-LIF = 4.8 e7 pg/ml) supplemented with polybrene (4 µg/ml) was injected at a rate of 0.25 µl/min. After the injection, the needle was left in place for an additional 5 min before being slowly withdrawn from the brain.

**Induction of EAE**

C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Harlan. Mice were immunized with 200 µg MOG 35–55 (MEVGWYRSPFSRVVHLGYRNGK) (Ansynth, Berkel en Rodenrijs, the Netherlands) dissolved 100 µl PBS, to which 100 µl complete Freund’s Adjuvant containing 5 mg/ml mycobacterium (Sigma, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands) was added. This mixture was injected subcutaneously into the flanks. Directly after immunization and 48 h later, mice received an i.p. injection of 200 ng pertussis toxin. Disease severity was graded using a standard 5-point scale with 0.5-point increments: 0, no symptoms; 1, decreased tail tone; 2, hindlimb paresis; 3, hindlimb paralysis; 4, quadraparesis; 5, death. Mice that reached grade 4.5 were killed in accordance with ethics committee requirements. All experiments were approved by the Hasselt University ethics committee.
LIF treatment

For systemic LIF treatment, cohorts were assembled after matching for disease severity and weight. Treatment started when mean disease scores were > 0.5. Mice were treated from day 13 to 18 with daily i.p. injections of recombinant murine LIF (R&D systems, Abingdon, United Kingdom) at doses of 25 μg/kg, dissolved in 100 μl PBS containing 0.1% MSA (Sigma, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands). Control animals received daily injections of 100 μl PBS containing 0.1% MSA.

Histology

At day 42 post immunization (56 days after lentiviral vector injection), brain and spinal cords were removed, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70 °C. 10 μm thick frozen sections were cut with a microtome (Leica Microsystems, Wetzelar, Germany). Immunohistochemistry was performed using antibodies raised against LIF (goat polyclonal, 1:100; R&D systems), eGFP (rabbit polyclonal, 1:10.000, in-house [28]) or CD3 (rat, 1:100, Serotec, Düsseldorf, Germany). Sections were pretreated with 3% hydrogen peroxide, blocked for 20 min in blocking agent (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and incubated overnight with primary antibody diluted in 10% normal goat serum. Biotinylated secondary antibodies (Dako) were used in a 1:300 dilution, followed by incubation with streptavidin–biotin–horseradish peroxidase complex (ABC Kit; Dako). Immunoreactivity was visualized using 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) as a chromogen. For fluorescent staining, sections were blocked with blocking agent (Dako) for 20 min at room temperature and then incubated overnight with primary antibodies raised against MBP (rat,
1:100, Chemicon, Brussels, Belgium), F4/80 (rat, 1:100, Serotec) and CD206 (rat, 1:100, Serotec). Binding of primary antibody was visualized using goat-anti-rat Alexa 555 secondary antibodies (1:400; Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Merelbeke, Belgium). Incubation with 4,6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was performed for fluorescent counterstaining of cell nuclei. As a negative control, primary antibodies were omitted from the staining procedure.

**Histological quantification**

The number of infiltrating immune cells and the extent of demyelination were evaluated in spinal cords of 5 mice per treatment group. Mice chosen for histological analysis displayed disease scores most closely resembling the median of the respective treatment group. Every 300 µm, an entire spinal cord section was analyzed for immune infiltrates, with a total of 3 sections for each animal. Data are expressed as the mean number of CD3 or F4/80 positive cells detected in 10 pictures taken in each animal. Mannose receptor positive macrophages are depicted as the percentage CD206 positive cells compared to the amount of F4/80 positive cells detected in these animals. Demyelinated area was assessed as loss of MBP staining in 10 random lesions at representative regions covering the entire spinal cord. Demyelinated area and the number of F4/80 and CD3 positive cells were quantified using an Eclipse 80i microscope with NIS-Elements Basic Research ver2.3 microscopy software (Nikon, Brussels, Belgium).

**Real time PCR**
RNA samples were prepared from spinal cords of mice (n=3 per group) by the RNeasy Lipid Tissue mini kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands). After reverse transcription (Promega, Madison, USA), cDNAs were amplified using specific commercially available primers (Taqman Gene Expression assays) on an ABI PRISM 7500 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, MA, USA). A threshold cycle was calculated and relative quantification was obtained by comparison with the threshold cycle obtained by amplifying samples with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase- and 18S specific primers.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the Graphpad Prism4 software package. Results are expressed as means +/- standard error of the mean. Mann-Whitney U-test was used for statistical analyses of disease scores. Statistical significance level was set as follows: * if $P<0.05$, ** if $P<0.01$. 
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Figure 1 Systemic administration of LIF does not significantly alter EAE disease course. (a) Daily i.p. injections with 25 µg/kg LIF (Δ--; n=10) from day 13 to 18 post EAE induction do not alter clinical symptoms compared to vehicle treated animals (--; n=10). (b) On day 15 post immunization, LIF-treated mice displayed less weight loss than control EAE mice. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

Figure 2 Lentiviral mediated expression of LIF in vitro and in vivo. (a) Western blot analysis demonstrates transgene expression 72 h post transduction of 293T cells with vector solution at ratios of 1:1, 1:3, 1:9 and 1:27. Mock transduced cells were used as control. (b-g) Immunohistochemical staining for GFP (b-d) or LIF (e,f) expression after LV-mediated gene transfer in lateral ventricle. High expression of the transgene was found in ependymal cells lining CSF filled spaces and in choroid plexus cells, while few cells in the adjacent brain parenchyma were also transduced. No expression was detected in untransduced CNS (g). 3V: third ventricle; LV: lateral ventricle; cc: corpus callosum; CPu: caudate putamen

Figure 3 Lentiviral mediated expression of LIF in the CNS significantly reduces EAE severity. LV were injected in the lateral ventricle 2 weeks before induction of EAE. (a) Clinical scores of mice overexpressing LIF (Δ--; n=10) were significantly reduced during the first disease episode (day 12 to 22) as well as during the relapse (day 36 to 41) compared to control EAE mice expressing GFP (--; n=10). (b) Central LIF expression reduced body weight compared to GFP expressing mice. Data are expressed as the means ± SEM. ** indicates significant differences with \( P < 0.01 \), * indicates significant differences with \( P < 0.05 \) as revealed by Mann-Whitney U-test.
Figure 4 Immune infiltrates in the CNS of LV-LIF and LV-GFP treated EAE mice. Five mice per group were studied in the immunohistological evaluation of spinal cord infiltrates. Local LIF expression did not significantly affect the amount of infiltrating T cells and macrophages/microglia in the CNS of EAE mice compared to control EAE mice or LV-GFP treated EAE animals. Dots represent the mean number of CD3 (a) or F4/80 (b) positive cells detected in each animal at representative regions covering the entire spinal cord. Bars represent the means per treatment group.

Figure 5 Cytokine and mannose receptor expression in the CNS of LV-LIF and LV-GFP treated EAE mice. (a) Real time PCR revealed no significant differences in TNFalpha, IL-4, iNOS and IFNgamma expression levels in LIF-treated mice compared to untransduced or GFP-expressing EAE mice. (b) Local LIF expression did not significantly affect the amount of alternatively activated (CD206 positive) macrophages/microglia in the CNS of EAE mice, compared to control EAE mice or LV-GFP treated EAE animals.

Figure 6 Local expression of LIF in the CNS significantly reduces immune-mediated demyelination in EAE. (a) Representative image of MBP staining in EAE spinal cord. Demyelinated area, indicated by loss of MBP staining, is marked using NIS-Elements software (outlined in white). (b) Demyelination is quantified and expressed as the means ± SEM. ** indicates significant differences with $P < 0.001$